

| options | comments |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | Having the play area next to West Byfleet Primary school is the ideal location. It makes it safe and easy for children to access the play area. Moving the playground further from the schools and closer to the main road seems illogical, especially as this would allow space for the proposed pub to be built next to a primary school which is obscene. The children need a safe and happy environment to play in, not one that has been moved, to make space for a pub next to their primary school. Please keep the play area where it is and use the secured funding to upgrade the existing play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Spending more cash to move the play area nearer the main road and build a pub in itâ€™s current location isnâ€™t an agreeable proposal. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground must stay in its current position. There is plenty of room to extend it in that position and we're very happy to spend the full Â£300k in that location. Under NO circumstances should be playground be moved over to the Parvis Road side of the recreation ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location of the playground is ideal, next to the schools and on a quiet residential road. The proposed new location is away from the schools and next to an extremely busy main road junction. This compromises the safety of our children playing there. The refurbishment of the playground is long overdue; the funds have been in place for a number of years, these are years when my small children have missed out on their local playground being usable. We further understand that the rationale for the proposed relocation and the delay to the refurbishment is due to a scheme to put a Marston's pub on the current playground location. This is very strongly opposed by the local population who overwhelmingly want to retain our limited public green space and do not want a pub next to our primary schools. It would also make traffic on Camphill Road, a pleasant residential road on the park, unbearable and completely change the character of the area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should not be moved next to a busy road with pollution and risk to children if they get out of the playground. There is room to extend in its current position. The Council must listen to the views of residents and stakeholders including both local schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Your wording for Option B does not make it clear that it would include a pub next to a Primary school. This is misleading. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Most importantly please keep the playground in itâ€™s current location. Can you also explain why you have a bigger budget for relocation? Why canâ€™t we have a larger budget in the current location? |
| I am in favour of Option A | I understand that there is plans for another pub in the centre of West Byfleet, therefore a 2nd pub on a recreation ground, next to a school, is certainly not necessary. I would prefer to see brown land sites developed for any buildings/businesses, not green/recreation land. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The park needs updating its an area for the community and that's what was promised. I also don't think its suitable to put a Pub next to a school and it will create terrible traffic I am sure the church would not want it next to them so why should a school get it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do NOT move the playground away from its current position and near to the Parvis Road. My grandchildren play in that park before school and its in a perfect position. The help and well being of our children and grandchildren should be paramount. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Strongly oppose to option B |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should stay where it is. There is plenty of room there and you could easily enhance the facilities there. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Moving the playground from beside a school to beside a main road is unacceptable. Option B obviously leaves the door wide open to concreting over a munched loved green space for an unwanted pub. Although WBC swam reluctant to highlight this. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I donâ€™t want the park to move closer to a much busier main road. It is perfectly located next to the schools. It should stay there. Leave it where it is and certainly donâ€™t put a pub there. Ridiculously short sighted. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We know the hidden agenda behind choosing option B - it makes way for a pub! Weâ€™re not stupid! Nobody in West Byfleet wants back-handed WBC to sell the land to Marstons pubs. Vile, corrupt council. Just do us all a favour and upgrade the playground where it is. Itâ€™s not rocket science, just do it! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe it detrimental to build a public house so close to a school and to move the existing playground closer to a very busy main road. The current play area is ideally located within west Byfleet park which also currently provides the well needed green space for all the community to use. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area being next door to the school hugely outweighs all the other arguments. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stop stalling. You have had funding in place for a very long time. The residents want the playground next to the schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is a much better location for the playground being next to the school and on a road with traffic calming. It is not clear from the information why the playground extension and budget is limited in size in option A. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the play ground where it is and not sell the land off to Marstons for a pub. My eldest boy starts at the infant school in September and its so nice he can stop by the park on the way home. Yes its in need of a refurb but its great location next to the school. Having a recreational ground next to the school is good in promoting an active healthy lifestyle where kids can run around and get good exercise. Relocating the playground and letting a pub develop next to a school is not right on many levels most importantly not safeguarding children nor is it a good image of children seeing the smokers and some heavy drinkers next to the school. Some parents of the school may have drink problems and this may make family problems worse with a pub next to the school. A well used recreational ground that needs to be well maintained not sold off. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area is the perfect size and location but needs refurbishing. I understand the funding has already been secured for this and Â£300k is a ludicrous amount to spend. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Current playground is small and badly maintained compared to other nearby playground |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is very convenient for children going to the nursery. The road noise on Parvis Road is not suitable for children with autism and the increased pollution from the traffic would aggravate my daughter's asthma. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I don't believe for one minute that WBC would spend Â£300k on Option B, it's just a rouse to get people to vote for B and then the Pub can be approved. Shame on you WBC!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is a ridiculous idea to even consider option 2 and moving playground away from schools and next to a busy road. I would be amazed if anyone would want that to happen. Furthermore, i'd also like to reiterate that I do not want a pub on any of the recreational green space we have, nor do I want any such building next to our schools and nursery with all the safeguarding issues it would bring. Please start listening to what the West Byfleet community really want. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Very sneaky not to include in option b that it also means building a pub right next to a school on the existing playground site. A pub that is not needed and absolutely not wanted. Shame on you |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B makes no sense. The park must be next to the school |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is totally inappropriate to remove this play area away from near the school, which will have over 700 children in attendance in September. For child safety issues the play area should stay where it is. Plan b is near a very busy main road, and car fumes. It is also near the Church and Bowles club both of which requires a quite and peaceful setting, not surround by excited noisy fun loving children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the playground next to the school and not next to the busy main road where there will be a health and safety risk to the children from traffic. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Out of the 2 options the location of Option A is far more preferable however if WBC have 300k to spend I don't see why the play area can't be given further improvements than the 60k allows for whilst keeping it in its existing location plus other improvements could be made to the recreation ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Quite simply I do not want a pub next to my child's school/ next to a nursery and two schools. Does Woking BC not like children? Why would you want them to play next to the busy main road? Option B is a terrible suggestion. No sensible parent would choose to move a park to that road, and away from the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am against Option B as it moves the playground close to a main road, and away from it's current perfect location - next to schools and easily accessible to pedestrians from all angles. Please could you explain why the budget is only Â£60,000 to remain in the same location, but would increase to Â£300,000 if the playground was moved to Option B? Why can't the Â£300,000 be spent on Option A? |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want the playground moved. It is not appropriate to be by a busy road. Beside the school is the best location for a playground. There is ample space to extend it further where it is. I do not see why you cant spend the extra money and keep it where it is. I do not want a pub by the school! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is in the perfect location. Although it is a shame the larger budget of Â£300k can't be spent on Option A - why is this? |
| I am in favour of Option A | We need our green space for our children to grow and play. We don't need another pub in the vicinity. We need a safe area not near a busy main road where accident could potentially happen. |
| I am in favour of Option A | this playground has taken too long to upgrade and rebuild...please do ths asap the children and families of the local area deserve it |
| I am in favour of Option A | no pub either |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is on land given to the local community and must not be sold on as part of a short sighted quick profit scheme. The wishes of the local people as voted for in the West Byfleet Neighborhood Plan should be observed. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I would like the playground to be kept next to the school rather than next to the busy main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Leave the park where it is, by the school. It is wrong to have a new park by a main road and a pub. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | I prefer more appropriate and safer option of developing the Park in its existing location rather than relocating it to a noisy and polluting main road with all the dangers of busy traffic. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Much nicer for the children to play next to a quieter road! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe this has been made deliberately misleading. You have not explained the pros and cons of each option or why you would wish to spend more money than is available or necessary or where the extra funds would come from. I believe Option B would make way for a pub to built on the recreation ground which in my opinion would be inappropriate development. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | My child is at West Byfleet school and I strongly oppose having a pub rather than a recreation ground next door. I am shocked this proposal is even being considered. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please keep the playground where it is ! It is in an ideal location right next to the schools and will be much safer for the children if it is kept here- next to a main road would be highly dangerous and cause no end of problems. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A the current location is ideal and it makes no sense to move it - presuming the extra expense comes from relocating the position - why would it receive better equipment at option B? Option B is next to a really busy main road and I would be uncomfortable getting my children to it. Option A is currently used lots as it is right next to the schools and nursery so would be of no benefit to move it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | NO PUB!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Knowing the whole story regarding the West Byfleet recreation area, there is NO WAY I would vote for option B, which would allow a pub to be built next to the school in the current recreation area!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | The recreation ground should not be sold to be built upon. Under no circumstances should the playground be moved nearer to Parvis Road. We do not want to leave a space for a Pub/restaurant. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No Pub thank you |
| I am in favour of Option A | It makes sense to respect the views of the children and parents that use this facility. I understand that they are strongly in favour of the play area remaining where it is presently situated. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The location at the moment is ideal for the school and on a quieter road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I still do not understand how there is more of a budget for Option B, but I vote for a safe, accessible place by the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Much more sense than a pub. Peter Bainton. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is a ridiculous choice for the local community and is just a money making scheme for the bigwigs! |
| I am in favour of Option A | It would be preferable to have the play ground well away from the busy Parvis road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is too close to the main road for a children's play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think it's disgusting that option B has been made to look like the better option. The original plan we had and worked so hard for was what we wanted not the two you are giving us, but what is the point of having a park on a main road away from the school that it is part of so you left us no choice. Right now you are making us feel that you have no consideration for our children's future, as I said it's disgusting! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want a pub on this Green instead why not knock down the existing pavillion and build a new one complete with a small restaurant with tables and cheer outside . You would already have the utilities in place ie water and electric. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location ADJACENT to the schools is ideal. It is also along a significantly quieter road than the new proposed site. I'd happily accept a smaller scale upgrade if the playground stayed where it is. I may live in neighbouring Runnymede (New Haw) but my children attend both West Byfleet schools, and we are very much a part of this community. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is not a proper public consultation. We do not want a pub and we do not want a relocated playground site. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel woking council are trying to move the park to the main road. Why would you do this? Why do you have such a large budget to move the park near a main road but are not giving us the additional budget to keep the park where it is and to ensure the West Byfleet Park has fantastic facilities like Weybridge Park. Maybe we need to speak to the councillors at Weybridge as they seem more kid/family friendly. Shame on you woking council! |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground needs to stay where it is most used and useful - next to the school. You only want to move it to build a naff pub and carpark. No one wants a pub next to a school. Do the right thing and give the children a better playground in the current location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Really disappointed in Woking Borough Council and its recent decisions regarding the proposed pub on this site, along with the Gas fuelled electricity plant! I also feel this feedback is misleading as people who vote B are voting for a pub!!! |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The recreation ground is for future generations to enjoy leisure time and not for brewers to use as a money making venue. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is the more cost effective option as it makes use of the existing facilities plus an extension. It is the closest area to the schools, the children from which are by far the most regular users. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Again total bias on this page - no mention of the fact that plan B involves moving the playground to a totally unsuitable area, next to a busy main road AND then building a pub that noone wants next to a school. Really Woking council - do you think we are that stupid. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | You have been very misleading. Where is mention of the pub? |
| I am in favour of Option A | The site for Option A is by far the better location as it would be next to the schools and thus where it would be used most and would be off the busy, unsafe main road. It would also mean that there would be no nasty pub (which you dont mention) next to the schools and a mini-road from a pub car park giving onto the already-busy-enough Camphill Road being a potential danger. Increased frontage of the recreation ground with playground equipment (including some for the parents of the children playing) would be much more attractive and within keeping with the wishes of the people who originally bequeathed the land to the PEOPLE of West Byfleet (NOT Woking Borough Council) and would not obscure views of the beautiful church either like a solid two storey pub building would. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Interesting you didn't think it worth mentioning Option B would be right next to the very busy Parvis Road... |
| I am in favour of Option A | Playground needs to stay where it is, and NOT be moved next the busy parvis road. We don't want a pub next to the school!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is superior because it keeps tbe playground next to the school and a traffic calmed road instead of moving next to busy A road. Also option b is the first step of the pub building plan of the council. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why only 1 & 2 options ?? The existing play area should be extended more towards the bowling green as an option 3. That would protect the trees which provide shade and character. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The park needs renovation but not moving |
| I am in favour of Option A | To move the existing playground would be catastrophic to the community and its children. The location is perfect as it stands, it just needs urgent maintenance and upgrading. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes. This is a very poor and misleading consultation as it fails to mention option B would mean the building of a pub on West Byfleet Recreation Ground. I select option A. No pub on West Byfleet Rec. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B's location is far too near to a major road that would be hazardous for children. I would rather the play area remained where it is, nearer to the school and a quieter road |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A retains the playground position next to the school and with access from a quieter road. There is also sufficient space to double its size and still leave access to the playing fields. Option B will lead to greater exposure to traffic fumes to children, is further away from both schools and is a disingenuous attempt to free up land for disposal and depriving future generations of open green space. Without providing the wider view, it is a shameful attempt to hoodwink the public. Fortunately the public are aware of these devices and WBC have unfortunately lost credibility. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The CC already has the money to refurbish the playground which is the cheaper option. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Felt I had no choice to vote A because I don't agree with the location changing, but really it should be option B but in its current location. Unfair to make people choose between a safer, better playground and have to sacrifice this to keep it where it is! So option C, all the great new stuff in option B but in the same location is my vote. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No public house please! WBC are trustees of the Rec and not owners!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do think the wording of the proposal is misleading as you have made no mention of the reasoning behind moving the Playground. Please show some honesty and have respect for the people of West Byfleet. We do not want a Pub next to our School and along Camphill Road. How miraculous also that £300,000 is magically available - yet only for option B Woking Council you should be ashamed of yourselves |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is being set up to be the 'better' option but much of the extra budget will be in moving and preparing the ground in the new location. Option B is also being presented as a better option for the purpose of allowing the building of a pub next to the school. I don't think Woking BC is being entirely open and honest with the public. We don't want a pub there. The traffic in West Byfleet is already terrible, the infrastructure isn't there for the new developments as it is and adding a pub in to the mix is a terrible idea. The current Sheer House is definitely unattractive, but there's no way West Byfleet will be able to cope with the additional traffic. Has anyone from the planning department actually driven through West Byfleet around rush hour? I doubt it. I live in Runnymede but my doctor is in West Byfleet, my mother in law lives there. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B doesn't mention the construction of a pub on the site! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I can't see why you would want to move a playground which is adjacent to a school to the other side close to a very busy main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why destroy a perfectly good and very well used recreation ground ? Why build a pub next door to x2 schools, a gorgeous bowling club and a church ?? West Byfleet has x2 pubs and a wine bar ... it doesnt need more ! Develop land that needs re developing NOT green belt recreational ground. Regards Annoyed local resident |
| I am in favour of Option A | Green spaces are needed and this space is just perfect for all! A pub is not what the future needs, with obesity levels rising we don't need more people staying inside we need people outside enjoying green spaces. Having a walk on a rainy day, playing in the snow and enjoying picnic in the sun! Think about it WBC! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Your misleading about the option B where green space is sold/property being built. And at a greater cost to the tax payer. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Whoever has provided these two options are clearly receiving backhanders from the company proposing the pub....do I want you to refurbish most of the existing playground with Â£60k or move it to a very busy road, out of the way of the proposed pub development for Â£300k. Is that actually a serious question/survey?? Woking borough council you are so very wrong for suggesting our children's playground is moved next to to a very busy noisy road away from its current much safer location. Shameful of you. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We want the playground to stay where it is. We do not want our playground next to a busy main road, we want it next to the school where it belongs. Option B is ridiculous, unsafe and impractical. Let us have our upgrade with the money that local residents secured 2 YEARS ago!!!! Stop stalling, stop lying that it's got nothing to do with the pub, and give us the playground that we want and need. Â£60,000 is plenty to create a safe and fun space in the current position. I hate that you are wording this consultation in such a way to make people think that Option B is preferable. It's not. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | By adopting Option A this would keep the play area away form the noisy and polluted main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Make it safe from illegal encampments. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It seems absolutely ridiculous to 'move' the play area to a significantly more dangerous area at 5x times the cost!!! Woking BC - This all smells a bit fishy, considering the absurd plan for a pub to be situated on the very same grounds!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel this would be more than adequate |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why can't the 2nd option be applied in the current location? Why not include cycle & footpaths around the park? More benches, flowers. Why would we want a pub there??? No mention of that under option B! Would the 2 go hand in hand? |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not want nor need a pub in our local recreation ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am in favour of option A. if option B includes building a pub on a park, next to a school, this should be made clear! |
| I am in favour of Option A | A pub next door to two schools and a nursery is ridiculous and can't believe it's even being considered. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is nonsensical to move the playground from the existing location as it is right next to TWO schools and a nursery. This location is well used and has just been waiting to be upgraded and extended for a number of years. I find it hard to believe that Woking Borough Council is even considering moving this playground and, even worse, replacing it with an unnecessary pub which would be right next door to a school. Are safe guarding issues even being considered let alone adopting the government's initiatives to reduce childhood obesity and promote a healthy life style? |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location (Option A) is in the perfect spot. Option B sounds great (of course it does - the way it's been worded) until you realise that the new location is right next to a very busy main road, out of the way of local schools and pedestrians..... and then it frees up the land to be sold to Marston's pub group which Woking Borough Council have already agreed to do! Option A. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Totally misleading making Option B appear more appealing then get your way and put a Pub/Restaurant right next to a School. Save West Byfleet Rec and NO to another PUB. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is a complete no-brainer. The playground area NEEDS to be next to the two schools and as far away from a busy main road as is absolutely possible. Safeguarding children should be at the forefront of everyone's mind and this option also has the advantage of saving Â£240,000! WHAT'S NOT TO LIKE? Please can somebody in Woking Borough Council make some decisions here which please the people of West Byfleet and its neighbouring villages and work for our community as a whole? |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A would enhance the play area we have now which is perfectly placed by the school and will get maximum use. I think Option B is in a dangerous location close to the 40mph road with very heavy traffic. I would not let my children use the site if it was put here. |
| I am in favour of Option A | You should not be selling the Rec for business use - incl. flats or a pub - it is for the use of local residents, green open space we do NOT want a pub - we have enough failing eateries in WB village already with plenty of vacant buildings waiting for businesses to invest and redevelop. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We prefer to keep the play park where it is and improve and extend it - something we have been waiting for for years, and where funding is available. I do not want a play park by a busy main road, sponsored by a pub which will take the existing playpark's better location. Please accept that no one wants a pub on our recreation ground - even if it means extra cash for the council! |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | It is wholly misleading to not mention that the larger play area comes with a pub/restaurant built on green belt and significant loss of the recreation ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have made clear my preferred option, however I find this to be a deliberately misleading consultation. Only by opening up the plans with the map of the rec is it made clear what the alternative proposed location of the park is. The current location of the park next to the school is ideal. Next to a busy main road is obviously not ideal! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Develop current playground and park, without pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please keep the play area away from the pollution and noise of Parvis Road. You've sat on that money long enough WBC it's time to do the right thing for the children and families in our community of West Byfleet. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not want a pub on our green space ! You can dangle more money to entice us with a bigger playground but we want it to stay where it is and for it to be refurbished. Woking council do not sell off our green space!!! Build the pub at Sheer house if you must!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | On cost, practicality, and familiarity grounds it is the sensible option. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Hello Woking Borough Councillors. Imagine your local school has a park your children play in every single day. Imagine your local council gets a generous offer from Marstons, then proposes to bulldoze the park, build a pub and car park in its place, and move the park further away next to a busy road. Seriously, imagine this was you and your friends, because I'm not sure any of you either live in our area or care about the impact this will have on us, and more importantly our children. Having the park in its current location encourages children of all ages to play and be physical both before and after school. Not only do we not need or want another pub, the proposal to move the park away is the absolute antithesis of good local planning. I hope you hear us loud and clear. DO NOT MOVE THE PARK or you will have the worst possible reaction from local residents, and both you and Marstons will be stuck in planning and legislative hell for years before Marstons give up anyway. The area on the other side of Old Woking Road would be perfect for a pub - just off a main road, not next to the school or Church. There are alternative sites for the pub. But not for the park. Heed the warning from people who live here and the children who use the park. Stop this plan now, and stop trying to push through something that the residents of West Byfleet don't want. The only reason could possibly be that you, the councillors, are set to financially benefit from the deal. It's going to become really interesting when that comes out. PS Also, how dare you word the options in that way. Are you proposing that the new play equipment for Option A wouldn't be age appropriate? Would you deliberately choose terrible equipment just to spite the locals? Have a look at those options again. Whoever wrote that should be ashamed of themselves by trying to make option B sound like the better option. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Your consultation is misleading because it does not give the reasons for relocation of the play area. Bad show Woking. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I understand Option A is further from a busy road, and next to the school sounds ideal. And in days of budget constraint it seems a far better value for money option |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub please |
| I am in favour of Option A | You are completely misleading the public in not mentioning the fact that you wish to move the park to accommodate a PUB next to the school! I am completely opposed to having a pub next to the school and moving the park next to Parvis Road. The park MUST stay where it is for safe access for pupils before and after school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option b is next to busy main road with the pollution, noise and dangers that come with that. Takes the playground away from the schools which isn't beneficial to parents or school. Option a is by far the better choice! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why can't option B take place in the location of Option A? Option B is too close to the main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is more sensible. Because the land was to be devoted to the purposes of public recreation for the use of the whole parish for all time coming and if the playground is moved so that space can be made to sell that newly vacated section to a brewery to build a pub this will exclude many of the whole parish from using that part of their inheritance. Also because it would appear a whole lot cheaper. Also because the playground is currently ideally situated on a quieter road that has traffic calming measures in place, next to the school and toilets where it needs to be - where children can access it easily and where people who are using it can access the facilities easily. Also because there had been a budget for improving the playground which wasn't spent on time even though it was widely known that upgrades were needed, and the money to pay for them was available. Also because the fact of a public consultation in a routine set of circumstances where an allocated budget has not been spent triggers thoughts of underhanded dodgy backroom deals being done in the boardroom behind closed doors such as has in fact taken place when the executive excluded members of the public and press from meetings specifically about this subject earlier this year. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The children deserve a decent playground now. They have waited too long already! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Totally for option A, dont want my children anywhere near a main road. Do what you promised to do when the budget was originally allocated to the park refub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is far more logical to retain the playground next to the school for children to use after school hours and as intended originally. The placing of a pub next to the school is ridiculous and totally illogical - why not ask the children what they would prefer - a pub or playground next to their school! |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A should have been done three years ago. Option B has been created due to the land being sold to Marstons to build a pub near a school & in an area that does not require such development. This sale was carried out with little or no consultation with local residence. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This consultation does not tell the full story as the pub is not mentioned. It only talks about the playground and not why you want to move it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It's in the right place, near the school and not near the main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A! The playground is in the perfect location, away from the main road (& accompanying pollution) and close to the schools. I have to wonder why the whole £300k can't be spent refurbishing it. Which leads me to thinking that an outside party wants it moved & are willing to pay? So now I'm wondering why you haven't been transparent about this (or whatever the reason for the difference in funding) in the consultation? The playground best serves the community in its current location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It would be beneficial to provide additional parking on the playing fields not only to assist Mothers alighting with their children but also for adults using the area for football.cricket etc. Currently they cause jams on the surrounding streets - which could be avoided with a little positive forethought. Spotlight now on the Leader of the Council to progress this improvement without claiming he didnt know anything about it!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please in future give a more honest summary of options |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keeping the whole area as intended to be a park and greenspace used by all from children to adults including football and cricket. Letting the children understand and explore why all grass areas, trees and wildlife are key to the survival of the human race and yet another drinking establishment isn't particularly given their lack of success in west byfleet. I don't understand why there wouldn't be the same investment. You havent mentioned any reasoning. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground needs to be sited near to the schools and away from the busy road with parking close by. There is no requirement for a further pub/eating establishment. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am in favour of Option A. I feel strongly that the playground should remain where it is at present, next to the West Byfleet schools so that children can walk safely from school to playground after school, and children can enter the playground at other times from a safer road with speed bumps rather than from a busy main road with no traffic calming measures. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should stay next to the schools, which is also along a lesser used road. You should have included an option C to expand the present site. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Options A: The play area located where it is next to the school on a quieter safer road with a zebra crossing is preferred. Option B cannot be considered due to pollution from the Parvis Road, Unsecured funding and concerns the council will never fulfil their obligation. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is plain dangerous for the children so close to the main road. Option a makes far more sense being right next to the 2 schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is in the best place. And we absolutely don't want a pub there! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is ridiculous |
| I am in favour of Option A | The park is perfect where it is. Why would you want to move it further towards a busy A road? Anything to do with the fact you want to sell the land to a pub right next to our schools???? Didn't mention that in your options blurb, did you Woking Borough Council? Sneaky!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | You forget to add to option B that there will be a pub replacing the old play area next to the school which is very misleading to people who don't know about this awful plan! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | As a Grandparent I know the value of this facility. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is important to preserve this green space and not build a pub on this green recreational ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe it is important to retain a well resourced modern play area in West Byfleet for the benefit of local families. West Byfleet already has two pubs and numerous restaurants and we do not need any building or development on a recreation ground which is well used by local residents including football teams during winter. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think that the budget for option B should be spent on the area that is covered under option A. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Ooh, Â£60k versus Â£300k - what a no-brainer! Come on WBC we're not stupid (second time in a week I've had to write that...) - we know why you're really offering option B: you shouldn't have promised to sell Marston's a chunk of OUR park and now you've been found out. More importantly, putting the play area in an "alternative location" on the other side of the park next to the busy main road makes it much further way from the schools, making letting-out time much less safe (both sets of governors have already told you this - pay attention!). Bring in an (obvious) Option C with a Â£300k play area running under the trees on the north edge of the park (along with an exit from the schools directly into the park) and maybe there's a genuine choice to offer people. Otherwise - stop messing around and sort out our rubbish play area - option A! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am in favour of option A even though it appears you are not going to invest as much money into this option which is such a shame for the West Byfleet children and community, which havent got much in the area. Option B from what I understand you are happy to spend more money moving the park area to enable the pub to be built which is totally unacceptable to even think of this so near the play area and schools plus losing open space area of which is the only area in the village. Try and think of what the community want! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It's already in the perfect place next to the Primary school and is an adequate size for its level of use. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Near to car parking and why move it just make it bigger. |
| I am in favour of Option A | In favour of option A as it is next to a quieter road and will ensure the pub is not build here. I don't understand why Â£300k can't be spent on the existing site. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes - Option B is a deliberate attempt at being cheeky - we do not want option B - please stop being cheeky. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing site serves the community well and with the suggested enhancements should quickly return the playground to the vibrant and useful facility it once was. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It seems absurd resite the playground away from the school and replace it with a pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Don't see why you can't also extend the playground in its current location and provide additional budget? Why is it that only option B gets such a high budget? My kids both attended the Infant and Junior schools and I believe the playground is in a perfect location where it currently is. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A recreation ground/ playground for young children is not the right place to build a pub, especially as one proposed place is next to the school, which has nursery and junior pupils. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is an abhorration that this vital and oversubscribed resource has been left for so long in such a deplorable and sub standard state, in direct contrast to other facilities within the borough, most notably those at Woking Park. West Byfleet is a strong community and these types of resources help to foster that spirit, unlike Public Houses that are of no benefit to the wider community and do not foster sharing and considerate citizenship. Work should commence at the soonest possible opportunity. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes, this consultation totally biased and does not adhere to the required standards. At no point does the option B detailed the proposed public house and road that would come with the development. Its an underhand tactic to pass the "bigger" development. Please let me know who I can take this matter further with. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want to see a restaurant pub on part of the site. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It may have been helpful to know where option B was so I have no choice but to go for A |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is the better option. A PUB is not required on a children's play area, they are not old enough to drink and certainly do not need to witness adults the worse for wear. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It would be a shame to move a loved playground from next to a school to somewhere more dangerous. The proposed ideas to increase its size and improve its equipment is a much better idea than moving it entirely! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Our playground does not need to move its in the perfect spot and we do not want a pub next to our school ! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Having attended previous consultations, I do not feel that you have presented the two options fairly, for example you have not detailed the likely location of the larger playground - perhaps next to a road or a new pub for instance! I would also like to understand how this consultation will be analysed and reported back please. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is the best and most cost effective option. The funds have already been raised by two local residents and has yet to be allocated. Our children are in desperate need of a safe play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The location of option B, ie right next to an extremely busy road concerns me greatly. Option is directl next to the schools which is precisely where it should be. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should have been completed by now and available to the children of West Byfleet. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the safer option for our children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need to move playground to a different location, its perfect where it is right now. Extension and revamping the equipment, also why not have outdoor gym equipment too. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want to see the park moved next to the busy main road, particularly as it would be making space for a pub next to a school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe that Option A is by far the best particularly with regard to location. I would , however, question why some 'all-weather' surface cannot also be provided in this option. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Your wording here is very misleading and pretty unfair. It's almost as if it should have been written on the side of a bus. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Put the playground away from the main road and next to the school! That makes the most sense. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should remain next to the school and near the toilets. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Totally against any pub on Rec ground. Playground and park is a village treasure for the development of our children and grandchildren . |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | 1. Near school which is always very handy especially if you have children in both schools (infant and juniors). When juniors have afterschool clubs parents can wait in the park with other children; 2. Away from the considerably busier traffic on Parvis Road (dangerous, unpractical, noise, pollution etc). |
| I am in favour of Option A | Whats wrong with just leaving it as it is and saving money |
| I am in favour of Option A | It's very clear that the Council's favoured option is option B as it is tied to the proposed development of a pub on the Rec by Marstons. That's where the additional funding is coming from. However, I am STRONGLY opposed to this option, partly as a pub has no place on our local green space but also, siting a playground next to a very busy main road and in a location that will require children to walk from the school, through / past a pub car park and then past the pub itself is something that virtually no-one in the community wants. In addition, I think you attempts to position option B as a benefit are disingenuous at best and bordering on deceitful. NO PARENT WANTS THIS. I am a parent of children who attend West Byfleet infant school and I do not know of ANY parent that supports option B. Please listen to your residents. The wording of this consultation is heavily loaded and does not provide any context. I hope all respondents are informed enough to oppose it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The location of the playground should stay where it is to benefit the children from the adjoining schools and as a safe place away from the busy Parvis road for younger children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Put the pub in the area of option B and extend the playground where it is, no one will walk that distance to the park |
| I am in favour of Option A | I cannot understand why anyone who lives in West byfkeer would vote for option B |
| I am in favour of Option A | This consultation is being conducted in an egregiously misleading manner. There is no mention that Option B would mean that the children's playground would be re-located next to a busy A road, exposing the children to air pollution. There is plenty of scientific evidence demonstrating the negative impact of air pollution on children's health. Here is one example, but I'd be happy to provide a hundred more: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060197 Worse yet, option B would allow the proposal to site a Marston's pub next to the Junior School to progress, potentially exposing the children to a plethora of safeguarding issues. The community has been united and outspoken against selling recreational land to build a pub. Our number one priority is to keep our children healthy and safe. This means that the playground should be located in a safe position. The recreation ground should be preserved for children and families to exercise and enjoy green space. Option A is the clear choice, no matter the size of the playground. However, Options A should be offered with all of the amenities promised in Option B, including the project budget of Â£300,000. The way this consultation is presented is very underhanded and Woking Borough Council should be ashamed. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is a brilliant site already in use and the cost is within budget for the size. The other site would cost 5 x more! Horrendous |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No |
| I am in favour of Option A | This play area is located perfectly for the kids after and before school. Moving it is just silly and u know it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why is option A a fraction of the cost? I hope the savings will go to maintaining and improving green space across the area. Building a pub next to a school, and moving a playground next to a main road to do so is scandalous. You should be ashamed of this short sighted and foolish plan. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Development of the current site is the best option. Improvements are long overdue. My grand children frequently use the playground and it has been a disgrace for some time compared to other playgrounds. The proposal for another pub in West Byfleet is unpopular and I am against it. Positioning it between a childrens playground and the bowling green is totally inappropriate. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need a pub on the recreation ground. There are plenty of empty shops in the town where a pub could go. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | We live in Lavender park road and use the park and open space all the time as we do not have our own garden.. I seriously do not understand why putting a pub on our open space would be a good idea.. the playground needs to be updated and made into a safe and exciting place to play for our children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think it's appalling that a pub is in planning for next to the school if option b gets the go ahead, it's deceitful. Option A is also a much safer place for the playground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Moving the playground to an area near a main road is totally irresponsible |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | i feel strongly that the playground should stay where it is which is option A. I think it's currently in the ideal location next to the school and next to a quieter road. I have a young child and i really wouldn't want them playing on a playground that is so close to such a busy and noisy road such as Parvis road which is where option B is suggesting. Option B location is a very bad idea and one that i strongly oppose. Also, if Â£300,000 is available for option B why can't that amount also be available for option A? It doesnt make any sense. i think the same Â£300,000 should be used on option A location and playground revamp. But if that's not possible i still choose Option A of course with the 60k revamp. Im excited that the playground will be revamped after all this time in option A hopefully. Thanks |
| I am in favour of Option A | Has to stay at the current location by the school. No pub should be allowed by the school! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is an ideal location to serve local homes, schools, is on a busy pedestrian footfall area and is safely away from A245 - Option B offers none of these and would be choosing to put children's lives at greater danger by putting a play park right next to such a busy road - not a wise idea. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is not acceptable as a Public House should never be next to a Primary School. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is much more sensible to improve the current park in it's current location which is safe and used regularly than to openly waste tax payers money on a larger park on a busy main road which will create safety issues for parents and children alike and will use huge amounts of money to develop., Trying to entice public to choose bigger and better so that the old park site can be used for a possible pub location is awful and school children and families deserve better in West Byfleet. Thank you. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do not put a pub on this rare green space. Children should have a safe place to play, not next to a busy road and pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It makes no sense to completely move a well placed play area, that is well used and safe in its current position. Moving the playground to option B would be a massive mistake and it would only be a matter of time before a serious accident would happen. Having a children's play area so close to such a busy main road should not even be an option. The statement about option A and B are completely misleading, making it seam as if option B is better for the community. Disgusting! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is completely unsuitable for the area. There is absolutely no way that a pub in that location, (next to 2 schools) is at all a good use of green space. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The Council should NOT be undermining the play area by not repairing it, maintaining it and then, when a proposal to use part of the overall play area for a pub/restaurant, offering up an alternative with a review of a proposal. We should stick with what is available, repair it, maintain it regularly and provide a facility for all children who live nearby. I do NOT support option B as it is a 'fob off' by the Council to delay doing something that they should have done months ago. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is already in the appropriate place next to the school, and is extremely popular with the children there, and should not be relocated. Where it is allows easy access for children from the school, and other visiting families. it is easy to access from the town centre. It feels safe there as it is next to the road and not at all 'lonely'. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This neighbourhood has a high level of older members and younger members (hence the amount of schools. There is no need for a pub; we do not need the extra noise; trouble and mess that could be a conclusion of going ahead in the development of a pub. The Recreation area should be developed for a youth club or a place for our young to develop. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Stop trying to build a pub on our green land you bunch of tits! |
| I am in favour of Option A | This allows the playground to maintain close to the 3 schools but also away from a very busy main road. Both my children attend the setting and visit the park during the week after and pre school, itâ€™s a popular park and should not be moved. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I canâ€™t help but notice that there is no mention of the proposed pub that may be put in the area of site A. It is a disgrace that the statements concerning the two options for the play area are misleading, and do not go into enough detail for the reasons why the play area will be moved. I also cannot believe that Woking Borough council are even considering allowing a pub to be put so close to a school, completely inappropriate. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Moving the playground near an extremely busy road and building a pub next to a site that has 2 schools and a nursery is the worst decision! Children need to be safeguarded! |
| I am in favour of Option A | As a grandparent of 2 West Byfleet children, I demand they be given every opportunity to play and develop together in the fresh air of open spaces. The â€œRec.â€ was as important to me growing up in Watford as it is to the children of West Byfleet today. They do not need another public house. We are at a health crossroads where alcoholism is at the forefront of our bankrupt NHS. Time for Woking Council to â€œget realâ€ and move with the times. |
| I am in favour of Option A | KEEP THE RECREATION AREA FOR OUR USE - NOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO to PUB!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Best location and council need to spend the original grant money. Our kids deserve the space and this should not be taken away from them. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Shame on you, no mention of where the alternative location is, no mention of why the current location canâ€™t house the new 300k Park. I am not sure the purpose of a consultation when you bury the main issues residents would want to consider like safety and welfare of the children serves much purpose. I look forward to the meeting in September with the results and hope you havenâ€™t managed to pull the wool over everyoneâ€™s eyes. Iâ€™m very disappointed. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please donâ€™t build a pub next to our school. The existing park location is far more suitable and away from the busy road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Putting a playground near a busy road (ie option B) is a less safe proposal. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want a pub on the recreation ground. If another bar really is necessary in West Byfleet surely it would be better located in the new sheer house development in the TOWN CENTRE |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I vote option A as it is in the best interest of our children and community. Option B is unnecessary and adds a safety risk to our children. We do not need a pub/restaurant right next to a primary school which pushes the childrens playground towards a busy main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please can you ensure Option B is fully explained on your consultation page - which is that the additional budget comes from a successful planning application by Marstons. In addition you also need to explain that the Council has already given notice to decline the current planning application for Broadoaks. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | build your dream pub in your backyard! leave the children their park!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | A pub next to a school? No consideration for the local neighbours and noise? WBC have come up with many a bad idea but this is by far the most ridiculous. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Outrageous to push the only childrens playground in West Byfleet towards a busy main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub near the school |
| I am in favour of Option A | As visiting grandparents to our son and daughter-in-law in West Byfleet, we quite frequently used and use the play area shown in Option A. We understand this should have been completed three years ago. We understand the money for this was raised by two local mothers and their efforts appear to have been ignored by the Council. Option B has only become available due to sale of the park land to Marstons & the local area has no need for such facility. It was sold with little of no consultation with local residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is next to a school - the best place for it. Itâ€™s abhorrent to think youâ€™d rather put a pub there that NO ONE wants. I want the park exactly where it is and you should spend all that extra cash you seem to have on making it the best playground next to a school in Surrey - be an example to other councils not a bad example. And listen to your residents. Please! |
| I am in favour of Option A | The way the options are worded is entirely pejorative, and would strongly steer the uninformed person to support the grossly more expensive option B. The wording of the options implies that option A would not be inclusive, would not be suitable for all ages, would not be secure and would not be appropriate for year-round use. Surely this would be fundamentally contrary to the Council's obligations in law. Furthermore, Option B does not represent a commitment to undertake any work, but only to undertake a further consultation if it is chosen. You should be ashamed of yourselves. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>The way that Woking Borough Council have worded these options is appallingly ambiguous, bordering on deliberately misleading. The reason we want to keep the playground where it is (Option A), is because of your woefully misplaced plan to sell off green space to a pub chain so they can build one of their establishments on the site. To allow a pub to be built on much needed green space is a poor decision in the first place, but to believe it's appropriate to put one next door to a school is just offensive.</p> <p>The there is a huge disparity between the two options you propose, and I'm pretty certain you're banking on people being swayed by the big shiny offer of Â£350k for a new play area if we agree to option B, but you are being deliberately deceitful by not mentioning why the huge sweetener is being offered to the residents of West Byfleet. If the council can find that sort of money if the playground is relocated (which I'm pretty certain won't all come from the sale of the site of the exiting playground), then one has to question why a larger amount isn't available for enhancing the playground facilities where they already are. The money you mention as being available for Option A is money that had already been secured through grants by local residents with a small top up from Woking Borough Council, yet there's no mention of this in your proposal. Again, this is misleading to people participating in this consultation.</p> <p>There appears to be no good reason why the existing site can't be enlarged and upgraded in a similar way to the second option, if the council able to find that sort of cash for Option B. There is plenty of space around the existing boundary fencing that could be used to increase the size with minimal impact/loss of recreation space for other users.</p> <p>The park in it's existing location is fully used by the school and parents whose children attend the school on a daily basis plus families from West Byfleet and the surrounding area, and it's entrance in the current location is much safer than facing onto a main highway such as Parvis Road which is notorious for traffic and accidents.</p> <p>By relocating the park to the opposite side of the recreation ground, to allow the building of this drinking & dining establishment possible also presents a number of other concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Any pub/restaurant in that location will require a car park, and access point leading onto Camphill Road. This will lead to an increase traffic in addition to existing congestion during school drop off & pick up times. An increase in traffic means a greater risk to children's safety as they make their way too and from school. Especially as there will be delivery lorries coming in and our during times when the establishment is operating. 2) Where alcohol is served, there is always a possibility of anti-social behaviour as a result of consumption, and to willfully position this type of establishment next to a school not only sets a poor example to the children in the school, but it encourages parents to frequent it straight after school. There is also the question of safeguarding for the children in light of such close proximity. 3) There are also health impacts to consider which come with an establishment such as this, and that puts additional strain on local services such as the NHS. <p>I also find it questionable that there's no other suitable locations for Marston's to secure land in West Byfleet for one of their establishments. There's the Broadoaks Development, not to mention the redevelopment of Shere House, which will lead to plenty of large leisure unit opportunities. I struggle to understand how Woking Borough Council can feel that option B is in the best interests of West Byfleet's residents when the underlying motivation for that option is purely self serving.</p> <p>The council needs to stop trying to sell out the children of West Byfleet purely to line their own pockets. Leave the playground where it is, but invest the money to make it a facility we can be proud of for years to come. To continue with Option B will merely prove everyone in the local area right...that the council only has their own interests at the forefront, rather than the people they are employed to serve.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>This is a completely unfair 'choice'. The option B is obviously dependent on the sale of our precious green space to Marsdon's to build a pub. The full picture is not being shown to local people. Very underhand Woking council. I am choosing option A as I want a playground next to my children's school, not a pub. I moved back to England after ten years in Spain in part due to being completed exasperated with the underhand and unfair way local authority and the government is run. It seems I was looking back to Blighty with rose tinted glasses. I will say it again presenting this consultation like this is VERY UNFAIR. YOU ARE BOT GIVING LOCAL PEOPLE THE FULL PICTURE. WHY Â£60,000 IN ONE POSITION AND Â£300,000 IN THE OTHER????? Disappointed with my home county and local council that I moved back to. Don't build a pub on our green space. We just want a place for our children to play.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>For both my own child and the children I work with keeping the park in its current location instead of a pub is the obvious choice. The rec works the way it is and all the children need is the current park upgraded. The school children should look out onto green space and a park not a pub and its car park.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>My son and his friend are able to walk from school to the park for treats as well as after school fun. We do not need a pub in its place. Funny how option B sounds so good as you left out the pub and its car park taking up a large chunk of the rec!</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>My grandson goes to the school next door and we think it is outrageous that you are considering a pub in place of their much loved park! Children need space to play and be children.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>Option A is the location of the existing playground. This is a safe environment for children being some way from the main road in and out of West Byfleet. Furthermore access is off a road with traffic 'bumps' that reduce the speed of passing traffic. Again, making it a safer location than Option B which would involve relocating the playground to a site right beside the main road in and out of West Byfleet. This is obviously a much more dangerous location. Furthermore it will be noisier than Option A and the fumes from passing traffic will make it a much more harmful environment.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>Option B does not mention the proposal of a pub - cheaters!!!</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>You make option B sound appealing but thats because you missed out the part about building a pub next door to two school and taking away a large piece of green space. WBC you should be ashamed of yourselves! Children and our planet need more green space we do not need more pubs!</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>Replacing a play area next a school with a pub is the most stupid thing I have heard. Increasing vandalism and unsocial behaviour around and in the school</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Having had a child go through the two schools next door to the existing play area, I understand how important it is to keep it in its current location. It is much safer with access on a quieter road. It enables children to start having some independence as they head towards their secondary school years, as they can meet their parents next door. There are teachers on the gate and many parents outside keeping a watchful eye on all of the children. This would not be an option if the playground was moved. It seems ridiculous to move it from a relatively safe location to one next to a major road that is only going to get busier and busier with all of the planned redevelopment. I believe that funds were raised and a grant obtained to carry out improvements to the current play area. It would seem the most sensible cost effective option. Although I do not live in the borough of Woking I live just over a mile from this park and I feel very strongly about this. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Let's work with what we've got in terms of existing land and budget. Forgive me if I am being overly cynical but I find it hard to believe a larger suitable (as yet unidentified) alternative site and a budget five times larger is likely to materialise. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Amazed by this consultation, very disappointed with WBC. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Status quo leave what is fantastic alone and improve please |
| I am in favour of Option A | This consultation is a fraud, I am disgusted with the corruption of the council. No pub on the recreation ground! |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no requirement to extend the play area to that indicated in Option B and WBC should be looking at the most cost effective option i.e. option A |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do not put a crap pub in West Byfleet or a power generator. Add another independent restaurant and keep West Byfleet local and a town vibe. If anything increase the doctors hours to be more accessible for professionals who work in London all day and cannot get a weekend appointment. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think what WBC wants to do is disgusting; putting a pub next to a school and moving the playground right out of the way near the busy road, away from the school is just ludicrous. Three years the children have waited for their new playground & now we know the real reason why it's still not happened. Spend the money doing up the clubhouse building, maybe add a nice cafe there & give the kids the new playground they've been promised - where it is. Leave our greenbelt alone! It's used all the time by everyone - what with the plans to build a load of new flats in West Byfleet that park is going to be needed even more by the residents in their tiny new-builds with no garden. I am ashamed of Woking Borough Council. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is better for a park. Option b isn't a practical shape and is too near the main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should be next to the school. No question. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why is so much money allocated to a brand new park and twice the size of the current one? |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A should have been completed 3 years ago. Money was raised by 2 local mothers and their efforts have been ignored by Woking borough council. Option B has only become available due to the sale of parklands to Marstons and the local area has no need for the facility. The land was sold with little/no consultation with local residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Very underhand not telling people that option b includes a pub next door to a school! Shame on you WBC! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Having the playground near the school and away from the road makes more sense to me. It's a pity the budgets for A and B are so different but even so my choice is A. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stop wasting time and get it built, otherwise my kids will be adults by the time this gets constructed. |
| I am in favour of Option A | To suggest that another site could be found that is as convenient is ridiculous. The council are acting in a way that seems underhand and shady. Please be more open and clear on what is going on. no more decisions behind closed doors if they affect us, the people who voted for you as councillors. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A very popular play area for young children, moving this closer to the A245 would be madness. There are no traffic calming measures on this road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why has there been such secrecy and delay connected with WBC plans for this area |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think the playground should stay where it is. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This play area is in constant use by parents with younger siblings of pupils at WBIS and WBJs. Moving the play area away from its current location next to WBJs would inevitably have a negative effect on the siblings social development. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Proximity to the schools in Camphill Road is essential. Extending the current playground will improve the area and retain the benefits of being next door to the schools. Whilst more funds might be available for option B, this option (specifically its location) would not be in the best interests of the parents and children who use it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The wording of the options is hugely deceptive. It is intentionally omitted that option B is necessarily linked with the construction of a pub where the playground is currently located. It is mischievous to make option B more attractive by highlighting considerably higher investment and additional consultation on design whilst failing to mention the big disadvantage. Consultation carefully designed to deceive. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Ridiculous putting a childrens park near a main road. This would be very dangerous and a risk to childrens health!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | We want to keep our beautiful park & do NOT want another pub! Protect our precious space and spend the already raised funds to update our current play area. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Who on WBC is getting a back hander from Marstons to put out this crap? |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The park must stay in its current location next to the schools and in the heart of our community. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please protect and promote playing spaces and please use the the monies already promised/given to improve facilities |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This would be the best option for the children that use the playground in west byfleet |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes. Option B involves the sale of public green space adjacent to my daughters school to be sold off to a pub chain. Clearly this is not the best location for a pub, West Byfleet has in its centre a lovely recreation ground between the school and the church. Why would residents choose for this to be sold off for development? Option A is the option that will protect our recreation ground. This is a misleading consultation. Funding to improve the current play park has been in place for a few years now and we are keen to see this work carried out. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please re-develop our existing playground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | You would appear to be deliberately trying to mislead the public by not revealing that the alternative location referred to in Option B is by the busy main road nor that the reason you want to relocate the park is to allow for a pub and car park to be built in its place. Shameful. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is much better that the location proposed in Option B. Option B's location is next to a busy main road. It's just silly to locate a play area for young children there. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A should have been done 2/3 years ago! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A keeps the playground next to the school and next to the safer residential road for access (camphill rd) not on the main parvis A road along which heavy goods vehicles travel regularly. Please keep the playground where it is, we love it, but improve the play equipment particularly replacing the central climbing frame which was removed a few years ago. The open vista from Camphill Rd across the Rec to the church is very special and should not be removed by the construction of a pub and parking. Keep the playground where it is, safe from busy traffic, closest to families at the school and residents of West Byfleet who use it and where open views of the Rec and the church from the road can be maintained. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am not in favour of plans for a public house within the same area as a recreational park and school! There are enough public houses in the area, without placing one directly under the nose of our innocent children! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Enlarging and improving existing play area is a better option as it is in the right location, cheaper and should be quicker and and easier to complete. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stupid idea to spend a lot more money to put a children's playground nearer to a main road and further away from the school and more distant from a safe parking area. If the Council were to approve the move, then perhaps people will start parking on the main road and create an accident black spot outside the new playground location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes please vote for option A!!! And no pub in the park please!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is near a main road, so not good for safety and childrens health with all the pollution and noise, where as option A enters from a quiet residential street with speed bumps. I would not be happy taking my children to a playground near such a busy road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why move what isnâ€™t broke? |
| I am in favour of Option A | I object to the play area being moved. Its in a perfect location for the schools where we pick up our children and on a safe residential street. I oppose it being moved to the area by a busy main road where our children will be forced to breath traffic fumes. Moving it will limit the usage it gets, and therefor having a further impact on our children health outrageous! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | Putting the play area further from the school and more importantly next to a main road is a crazy idea, top priority here should be the safety of the children. A number of the comments on this suggest a pub is being proposed on the ground where the park currently sits, I'm assuming this isn't the case as no one in their right mind would stick a pub next to a school in place of a playground surely? |
| I am in favour of Option A | A pub would destroy the setting for families |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is the only green space we have in West Byfleet and should remain as such. The upgrade to the playground is long overdue and the council's two year delay has been unacceptable. There is no desire for a pub to be built so close to two schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is a very deceitful set of choices. You make no mention of the plans to possibly build a pub on the existing site, next to a school. Shameful. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stop wasting time & our money on useless council projects. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no requirement for another pub/restaurant in the area. Quite apart from the fact that the land was gifted to the community for use in perpetuity as a recreational area. The council does not have the right to sell this land off. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Leave playground where it is. It is shocking that West Byfleet residence have been without a playground for many years. While funds have been in place. Make it bigger and better in existing area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location of the park is perfect and cannot be improved upon. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The Recreation Ground is primarily for children and must remain so. Option B with the proposal of a pub being built on the land is unthinkable. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I'm not choosing any option until WBC explain how option B will spend 5 times more on a play area than option A. What's the catch and where is the proposed new pub to be located? |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is in a much safer location for a children's play area, being away from a main A-road. And further development of this green space is unnecessary and unwarranted. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the better location. Option B could be attractive as it has more spend and better equipment but the location close to Parvis Road is unsafe. For the reason of safety Option A has been chosen |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is unattractive as it is located close to the busy Parvis Road. Although more will be spent on the Option B site which is also larger than site A the location is not safe and should be rejected |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Would like option A to include a gated, secure entrance. Completely possible to achieve and still well under the budget of £300K set for option B. Massive difference between the two options so a little more on option A for a more secured park would seem a reasonable request. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | There should not be a pub near the play ground. There is space in the proposed new development in West Byfleet or in existing building (with banks leaving) for it. Pubs shouldn't need big car parks.... you are not meant to drink and drive! Plus there is already parking in West Byfleet. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B has clearly been framed to appear more attractive. However, it seems that a condition of that option would be approval of a plan to build a pub in the current location of the playground. That should have been clearly stated. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I understand that option B involves building a pub on the rec to fund the playground which I am totally against. If this is true then this survey is not open and transparent and is a deception. |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no pub needed right next to a school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | As a parent at West Byfleet infant school, I am disappointed to learn of your motivations for wanting to move the play area. As many others, I regularly take my daughter to the park after school and use the facilities available to us. Children are easy, give them a swing and a slide and they're happy. £300k for an larger, more exciting park would be great...except it's at the other end of the park, so we'll probably never use it. I'm disappointed with the way this has been handled by Woking Borough Council. Who are you thinking of in all of this? It doesn't appear to be the residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | I am ashamed to live within your council. Leave our playground alone & start dealing with the issues where your attention is required. Shame on you all. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why spend more money on a bigger play area when there is already a well sited area which needs refurbishing and for which the money is available. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is very popular and convenient in it's current position but needs updating |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Location next to schools and parking is more practical for users of play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is too near main road and too far from school. If the money is available for option B why can't it be spent on A? |
| I am in favour of Option A | The ideal location is next to the school where it is at present |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | A very biased description of the new proposal. Option A all the way. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The area is already used by many many families - there is ample clear green space for football, etc. Moving the play area to beyond the bowling green affects the bowlers, parking, etc. Financially, as well as practically, option a is my preferred option |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not think it is appropriate to locate a play area close to a very busy A road and adjacent to a bowls club used to a quiet environment. The current location next to the school works well. For these reasons I support Option A |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not believe the addition of a pub to the current recreation space, in such close proximity to the school and play area, is a sensible option. Therefore although an enhanced space (option B) would be lovely, presumably this will be funded by Marstons/another and not an option in my opinion. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need a pub on this site and the anti-social behaviour itâ€™ll bring with it, particularly with a school so Close. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I consider there is something rotten in the state of Woking Borough Council and this is yet another example of the underhand way in which the Council operates. I have lost all faith in the Council to operate in the interests of the local community. West Byfleet, by the way, is a village not a town as the council chooses to call it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Leave the playground where it is. No need to move it. Just refurb it at a lower cost to the taxpayer. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Moving the playground next to a busy, main road is ludicrous and impractical for parents of children at the Infant and Junior Schools. Has anyone considered how the noise from the park will impact the church and its attendees. A funeral/quiet church service with the backdrop of noise from children lâ€™m sure would not always be appreciated. However there seems no reason to move the playground from its current location as it â€™worksâ€™ for all its users and neighbours. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel insufficient information has been provided about proposals for Option B and feel very unhappy about what this implies. I therefore feel strongly in support of Option A. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Donâ€™t want a playground next to Parvis road and donâ€™t want a pub next to my childrenâ€™s school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area is well sited in its current location next to the school and away from the busy road. Any further enhancements e.g. bike track could be done in another area of the park. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am strongly against a new pub being built on any part of the recreation field. The proper location for a new pub is in the redevelopment of the retail development in the centre of West Byfleet |
| I am in favour of Option A | Donâ€™t be corrupt. Do the right thing |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | These choices have been incredibly misleading. You have left out the fact that option b also includes the proposition of a pub being next to the school instead. We have enough pubs and bars and places to eat. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | With little or scant information in this I must choose Option A. I am unclear as to why the Council is only able to release Â£300k for only one option. We need more detail as this looks misleading. Is there Â£240k (300-60) of new money available or, if not for Option A, where is this repriorited from for Option B? And whither the pub proposal - how does this link together? Itâ€™s a poor representation of options, in my view, and this needs to be redressed and repositioned to the community. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please stop the rape of West Byfleet. The playground needs to be updated and left where it is. It is disgusting at the moment. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I object to the proposed construction of a pub on the current playground site. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need a pub on the recreation ground. It is a short term financial benefit to the council to sell the land, not a good long term strategy for local people. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I consider the proposal of putting a pub on camphill road to be completely unacceptable. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We don't need a pub and the Parvis Road access is on a busy road set to get even more busy. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is a shame this was not done when the parents raised the money. I do not want a pub taking away green space. It is not a good example to set the children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | i use the park on a regular basis with my grandchildren .i am very happy with the location as it is near the school. i certainly don't think we need a pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is furthest away from the very busy Parvis Road and convenient for parents with pre-school children who could combine a trip with them to the playground with delivering or collecting their school age children. access is bettering the site more suitable than the remote 'hidden' site B. |
| I am in favour of Option A | this should remain as a vibrant play area for the community |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I oppose to the plan of replacing the existing playground with a pub, just next to a school. Why is the motive of relocating the playground not made clear? Surely the existing location could accommodate a larger playground if that is MAIN REASON FOR wanting to MOVE IT. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We have so little green space in central West Byfleet, and with the new development of the town centre, almost NO parking. I strongly oppose any further development on the little green space we have left (except increasing the play are). We should NOT build on the cricket pitch/area next to the church an along Parvis Road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Anyone who cares about a childâ€™s safety will choose option A, Option B is a ludicrous place to build a park. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should remain in the safest place next to the school and away from the incredibly busy and dangerous (not to mention polluted) Parvis Road. The remaining funds that do not need to be spent on the relocation costs of plan B, could then be spent on refurbishing the Pavilion. This would negate the need to sell the land to Marston's. Residents in West Byfleet do not want a pub. It is scandalous that the existing playground cannot be expanded just because the land it would take up has been 'promised' to Marston's. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Outrageous to relocate the park to a more dangerous location away from the school just to be able to sell the land to a Pub chain that none of the residents in West Byfleet want. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I understand that some of the money allocated for this project was raised by private persons. Also Woking Borough Council seem reluctant to mention the proposed Public House in these plans, something I find is duplicitous in the very least! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Even a small part of the recreation ground should not be sacrificed for a commercial venture (pub/restaurant); it is the "thin edge of the wedge". Existing play area is the much better area being adjacent/near to schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A should have been completed three years ago. Money was raised by two local mothers and their efforts have been ignored by the Council. Option B has only become available due to sale of the park land to Marstons & the local area has no need for such facility. It was sold with little of no consultation with local residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The allocated space is adequate and will continue to be well used by local children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the best and most cost effective option. It is close to the current schools and has great potential for further development. In addition to the Â£60k allocated, I understand that additional funds from SCC have been allocated to WBC to support the development. The existing area is also better as it will stop the development of the pub on the land which needs to be rejected by the council. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It makes more sense to extend the existing play area which is also next to the primary school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | A is preferable because it is safe to access the park via Camphill Road. It is NOT safe to access the park via Parvis Road. In addition, families would prefer the park is redeveloped sooner rather than later. Option B will cause further delays. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | My strong preference of option A is due to it's position, which is fronting a significantly less busy road than option B. It is by far a more pleasant setting for families than that of option B. As option A is next to the school it is very easily accessible for parents dropping off or collecting children. In my view Option A provides a more secure environment than option B, which is secluded and away from general activity. Whist limited, there is established parking next to the existing site. Commercially it makes far more sense to utilise and improve upon the existing infrastructure rather than starting afresh. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I find it unbelievable that it has even been considered an option to build a pub on that small road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I don't see the need for anything other than Option A |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Another WBC piece of deception, this time to allow for a pub development on the existing site which local residents overwhelmingly do not want and is categorically against the neighbourhood plans approved by 90% of residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The recreation ground was bequeathed to the inhabitants of West Byfleet for recreational purposes. Allowing a pub to be build on that land, very close to a nursery, an infant school and a primary school is not in keeping in line with the purposes for which it was intended. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is long overdue. As a parent in the local vicinity the current playground has been neglected. Discussions around a pub being built on the recreation ground are outrageous considering this is an area for local children and families to relax and play. The way you have positioned this consultation with option A looking the worst option on the face of it is distasteful considering future proposals to build a pub/car park on top of the existing playground and shift the children over by the main road. Shame on you! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am appalled with WBC. Communication and management of this project has been very poorly handled. It seems from the public exhibition which I attended, that Marstons believe that they already have some level of support from WBC. The questionnaire which I and many people that evening completed, was being analysed by Marstons! That only became clear when I asked one of their representatives. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The money for the playground in option A was raised by the locals via fundraising. So the council budget for option B should be put towards option A! Also the extension for option A should include a splash pool and shaded area as there are lots of young children and with such a large field it would make sense to make the park appropriate for all year round playing. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep our park where it is! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not appreciate the missing Â£240,000 from Option A, that is obviously is being used as an incentive to vote for Option B, the option apparently preferred by the council. This amounts essentially to blackmailing your constituents and is shameful. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This needs to happen for a long time our children have been without a good play park |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | In view of the proximity to Parvis Road and exposure to exhaust gases and noise Option B is unsuitable on those grounds alone. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Whoever thinks that there isn't a MAJOR safeguarding issue surrounding the idea of putting a pub next to two schools quite frankly needs their head examining! As a resident of West Byfleet, a parent of two children at the infant school & a Metropolitan Police Service employee, I just have no words to explain how unsettling this is for everyone who lives here & have young children. They deserve somewhere safe to play without the worry of having all sorts of "unsavoury" characters watching them from a pub (yes in my job I see it all the time!) & the location of this pub is the perfect location for these predators. Please stop being selfish & putting profit before play. Our children need to be kept safe |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The option B is far too close to the bowling green. Mainly the elderly play here and would not appreciate the noise from just the other side of the hedge. Option A has been perfect next to the school for many years and vandalism is far less as it can be seen from the houses and flats. The option A cannot be seen by houses and is therefore open to vandalism. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | I cannot imagine why any person would consider putting a pub next to a school. Not only is it illogical it flies in the face of safeguarding young people |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>I am extremely perplexed by the approach that WBC has taken to following up on its promise during the council meeting of 28th June of "wider engagement" with the community to determine the future of the play area at West Byfleet Recreation Ground.</p> <p>WBC have published a survey presenting 2 options and very little information other than the cost of the two options and a map indicating their size and location. It is also not clear how WBC is publicising the availability of this flawed survey, closing date 24th August.</p> <p>This information presented is similar to that made available during the council meeting of 28th June and is clearly inadequate (if not misleading) in not providing the residents of West Byfleet with the information required to make a balanced judgement on how their council supports their environment, green belt and open green spaces.</p> <p>Who wouldn't vote for a larger play area with a bigger budget, inclusive play equipment suitable for all ages and an appropriate surface for year-round use and secure gated entrance? There would be further delay with this option and the playground would be situated closer to a main road. These are the only downsides I can deduce from the information provided.</p> <p>However, if £300,000 of funding is available why does the location have to change? Could the play area be extended further than proposed in Option A (looking at the plans it seems feasible) and it could also feature the more attractive aspects of Option B: inclusive play equipment suitable for all ages with appropriate surface for year-round use and secure gated entrance. Why has this option not been proposed for residents to choose from?</p> <p>I am aware (through other sources) that the funding required for Option A, is available, yet no progress has been made to improve the play area for some time. No information on the funding status regarding the £300,000 option has been provided with the survey.</p> <p>What creates a feeling of distrust between the residents of West Byfleet and WBC who are supposed to represent and work for us is that a decision to entertain a plan to sell land at West Byfleet Recreation Ground and to Marstons for them to build a pub was approved in a confidential session of a council meeting in February 2018. This is despite the fact that West Byfleet has approved (by an overwhelming majority) a Neighbourhood Plan which has been adopted by WBC as part of its Local Development Plan. Under Policy OS2 of the West Byfleet Neighbourhood Plan it is clear that the use of West Byfleet Recreation Ground other than for completely for recreational purposes is not permitted and is compliant with both the WBC Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>I strongly suspect that Option B is only financially viable if Marstons apply for an obtain planning permission and then purchase the land and build a pub on West Byfleet recreation Ground. Clarity and transparency on this issue or condition associated with Option B would be a positive move by WBC.</p> <p>It was stated during the council meeting of 28th June that while the Executive cares passionately, there has been a breakdown in communication with the community. The council's mission statement going forward was declared as: "Listen more, talk less, explain better".</p> <p>It is hard to see that the survey you are conducting lives up to the commitments documented in the minutes of the council meeting of 28th June:</p> <p>That (i) Officers be asked to undertake a public consultation with residents regarding the Children's Play Area in West Byfleet Recreation Ground over the summer; and</p> <p>(ii) a report be brought back to the Executive at its meeting on 13 September 2018</p> <p>Reason: To address public concern regarding the Children's Play Area at West Byfleet Recreation Ground.</p> <p>The approach, lack of transparency and restricted (and misleading) options in a are not addressing the public concern on this matter at all.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the play area next to the schools as that is where the majority of users come from - before and after school. Very against the play area being right next to a very busy road in regards to safety and air quality. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is ideally situated and the best location for safety and also by the school. Option B is by the dangerous main road so would be a safety hazard for children as well as cause disturbance for people playing bowls and in the church. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is public secured funding, and safer for the children of West Byfleet for the park to remain where it is and use the money raised to enhance it. This is a family village, lets keep it that way !! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Your outline of Option B does not include the fact that it will involve a trade off i.e. building a pub on the current site of the play area and decreasing the space on the rec available for sport. Your consultation is therefore hopelessly biased in favour of the answer you want as WBC has already agreed to sell the land to Marstons. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | If option A was chosen then there would be money left over to resurface and upgrade the appalling tennis courts....they are an embarrassment to Woking council |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing playground is sufficient in size and is in the perfect location adjacent to both the infant and junior schools. I've no desire to see the playground relocated which means it would be closer to the 40mph road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>Where is the legal proof that Woking Borough Council (WBC) after taking over the running of Byfleet Parish Council affairs in 2002 when it was wound up, that they, WBC have the legal right to say they own the land and can go ahead and put a Marsdon's pub on the site verses keeping it as it is? Where is the documentation to show Council rate payers that WBC own the land?</p> <p>When the land was given by a local family to Byfleet Parish in 1904 it was left in perpetuity so the land could be enjoyed for the benefit of parishioners, primarily children.</p> <p>Why and who with the date did WBC Council officer (s) with the agreement of Councillors lease the land to Greenwich Leisure Services? What are the terms of the lease, what payment is being made by Greenwich Leisure Services to WBC for the land? Again, where is the documentation to show Council rate payers the terms of the lease given no one knew about this arrangement?</p> <p>A legal requirement WBC have to go to press and advertise the fact that they are going to lease local land to another body, where is that press announcement and on what date, papers was it in for Council rate payers to see?</p> |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Crazy idea putting a pub next to a school. We have a harvester, the station, the maybury inn, the queens head why on earth would you consider taking away our children's park and sticking it by a fast, dangerous noisy road! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why do we need another "drinking outlet" in West Byfleet? There are several already but only 1 public play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Save our green spaces for the next generation. Pubs sell alcohol which can lead to violence and public disorder. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | no pub should be built on the whole area as it is not suitable near a school and the play area is ideal next to the school |
| I am in favour of Option A | Its perfect where it is, close to the school away from parvis nice and secure. Park does not need moving. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | All in favour of new business ventures, however an establishment that sells alcohol is as good as a fast food unit next to a school. Paints the wrong picture in todays society. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A is the best option to keep the park PUB Free, and the children safe from car fumes. |
| I am in favour of Option A | You have deliberately failed to mention the plan to build a pub next to the school in option B. Despicably misleading! |
| I am in favour of Option A | We want the playground to stay in its perfect current location adjacent to the schools that my children attend. The budget should be increased without having to accept option B and the hidden agenda of allowing Marstons Pub to build next to the schools on the existing playground site. A pub next to the school (which is not discussed in this consultation although the reason for it!!!) would present multiple safeguarding and road safety risks plus take away use of the recreation green space for actual recreation and turn it into just a greedy money making opportunity. We oppose this option B and the pub 100 percent!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes. The way this matter has been handled by Woking Borough Council is a travesty of transparent local behaviour. WBC should be working on behalf of the people who pay it and should always be guided by ensuring that residents' views are canvassed early and often, and then ACTED ON. The executive performance of WBC continues to be opaque and dubious, leading to a complete lack of trust. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The investment should be made into the existing site - there shouldn't be an option to move this area nor to build a pub in its place. A pub has no place here |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current play space is popular with local families and needs protecting so that we can all still enjoy playing there. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I find the wording on the Council website, when describing options A and B unbalanced and biased. Option A is both the most effective use of land and the most cost effective. Any extension with Option A will of course include the all weather surfaces and accessible equipment included within the option B description. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe it is a safer option for our children and is in closer proximity to the schools and will benefit the children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think the situation of option A is preferable for all children as it is safer. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is the ideal position next to the schools. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is better suited next to the school and not next to a busy main road through the town. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Less expense for the council and a safer location away from the busy Parvis Road |
| I am in favour of Option A | I would rather have a smaller playground in a safer and better position |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is important not to have the playground next to a busy road. It also feels unfair that the council would withhold the extra resources for the playground if it stays close to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I moved to West Byfleet approximately 7 years ago and saw this as the perfect place to raise my family. Since then I have seen many changes to the town and feel like I am finally beginning to be at home here. The last few months have made me seriously doubt this decision though. The town centre is to be out of action for around 5 years while it is overdeveloped, a potential power station is being proposed to be built on my doorstep and now I have to fight to keep my children's playground position and overdue maintenance. I honestly hope this is the end of this as I sure the good people of WB have put up with enough. If your intention is to drive people away from this area then your plans are starting to work. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please put the safety of our children before all else. I live in Woking and often visit this park. Many thanks. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I want my playground to stay where it is. I do not want a pub by my school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing playground is in an ideal location for parents with toddlers to let them play whilst waiting to pick up their older children from school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the playground where it is |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do not move the playground it is safer where it is. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why move the playground when it is in an ideal situation positioned as it is next to the school for parents to wait to pick up their children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | You should be more transparent, 300k is only available to spend if you allow a pub to be built on the playground! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why waste 240k on a new playground when 60k is already there and the playground just needs updating? |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I favour this option as I feel it is the better of the two for preserving our green space & rec for the future. |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | It is the most ridiculous idea putting a pub next to a school and moving the playground away from the school next to a busy main road. As a Mum of three and a childminder I cannot believe this is even being considered, so many safeguarding issues surely?? |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The siting of Option A is preferable to the second option which is too near a busy main road with noise and traffic pollution. |
| I am in favour of Option A | You appear not to have included transparent details of the full impact of option B. This does you no credit. Accept local residents' wishes and stop trying to develop commercial premises. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is nothing wrong with the current location of the playground - it is perfectly placed in a lovely shady spot, right next to a school, where the pupils use it a lot. It is also on a relatively safe road with speed restrictions and traffic calming in place. The proposed new site is not that much bigger and is right next to a busy main road. We all know that there is a proposal to build a pub on the current playground site - as residents of Camphill Court, this affects us more than most, and our son is likely to attend West Byfleet Nursery School next year. We would rather have a smaller play area in a sensible location, than a larger one next to a busy road and a pub neighbouring his school. There are a lot of restaurants and two pubs in the nearby town centre already - a much more appropriate location for them. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The option to upgrade the existing site makes economic sense and should be achievable with minimal time and resource spent on it. The location is known and familiar to those who make use of it. Moving to the new location appears expensive and, I suggest, unaffordable in light of higher priority spending obligations and requirements. The time and resources needed to prepare the new location, consult on it and build it, plus the removal of the old site and making good the ground there, just seems wholly unnecessary. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We use this park a lot , our grandchildren love it and it's close to home |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | A very misleading consultation as there is no mention of the pub & that is the only reason there would be Â£300k for option b as it would be funded by marstons. West Byfleet does not need a pub - pubs are closing everywhere & there is one outlined in the sheer house development. All we wanted was some safe/updated equipment - wbc did not need to create this situation. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The location of the playground next to the school is a vital resource for parents before and after school. I strongly object to relocating the playground next to a main road with the safety and pollution issues. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I dont feel that this consultation is being done in an honest manner. It does not explain the wider plans around the park, the pub, the sources of funding and whether that funding is secured or not. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area needs updating and is a very busy little park, espically after school let out. The recreation ground is the only large open space in the centre of west byfleet and therefore should be preserved. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think placing a pub next to a Junior School, an Infant School and a Nursery School is a terrible idea. The perfect neighbour for these schools is their playground, upgraded as originally planned, not a bunch of drunk people. Moving the playground away from the schools alongside a much busier road is dangerous. And why? Just so we can sacrifice some of our precious park for a pub we don't need. There are plenty of pubs around. Not so many recreation grounds. There is even space for a pub in the new development planned and approved for the village centre. Why can't Marstens go there? Who gave them the right to take space away from our park which is a vital part of our village? I wonder if any of the people in charge of this decision live in our village. Do you use our recreation ground? Or are you happy to destroy it because you don't and the decision doesn't impact on your lives? |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is convenient for car parking and transporting children and accessories safely to the playground. The playground certainly does need updating but if it was extended too much it would eat into the amazing large green space that is used for multiple informal football, chasing or rounders games in the holidays and at weekends. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is much preferred as it uses the existing play area without the need to fell protected and old trees that would have to occur for option B. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It obvious that you're only doing this to 'tick a box' and really couldn't care less what the residents of the area want... but maybe you'll prove us wrong and actually listen and do what 'the people' want, not what you perceive is 'best' for the area or council. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B seems incredible waste of money that could be spent elsewhere, for instance providing play area in Pyrford |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Voting for A as a) not sure why Â£300k can't be spent on existing area, b) why Â£300k is needed, when Â£60k will provide a great refurbishment of the existing and c) it is unclear whether Option B is conditional on the sale/development of either Broadoaks or Rec Land, therefore may not happen. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The alternative location is unacceptable and the current location is ideal |
| I am in favour of Option A | The wording of this consultation is very misleading, with no information on the other planned work happening in the area (proposed pub being built). Also why is such a huge amount more being suggested if the playground is moved to a new location (option B) but this is unavailable under option A? |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is perfect for our children especially my disabled daughter. Moving this location is not optional. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not understand why the council feel the need to move the playground away from the school and closer to the main round where it will be hidden - behind the bowling green and pavilion - thereby being a larger draw for anti social behaviour. If the playground were to move it would remove the only pleasant tree planted area (all other areas are on the outskirts of sports pitches) in the whole of the rec. I also feel that using secured small funding is a much better use of public money than trying to find a much larger unsecured amount. Regarding a pub being allowed on the rec, if this were to go ahead then either The Station or the Harvester would close as there is not the trade to support 3 pubs plus a wine bar in West Byfleet. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The wording of this "consultation" is disingenuous at best and, at worst, designed to deceive those residents who are not educated about the implications of Option B. Option B playground relocation its to a much more dangerous site and moreover opens the door to the pub development and future development on the recreation ground. Shame on you Woking Council! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The community and users have clearly demonstrated their views - they do not want a pub in the park. The second option is not for the good of the community rather so that the council can profit from Marsdon Brewery development |
| I am in favour of Option A | Much needed place for children to be able to play and relax after school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Against any building on that space, which is implied, but not said, in Option B |
| I am in favour of Option A | Outraged that option B is so misleading with no mention of a pub proposal. Vote goes to option A for the only possible option that gives our children a safe place to play next to the schools and nursery. Moving playground to a busy road location with numerous safeguarding concerns is ridiculous! And as for a pub stealing away green space that rightly belongs to our community ... that is just scandalous. Please listen to what residents really want... option A. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This consultation is a deliberately designed to mislead the public. Where is the additional funding for option B coming from? Oh yes, the sale of the current playground land to a pub which is clearly, deliberately omitted from the consultation. Option B puts children at very real risk - being sited next to one of the most polluted roads in the borough. We are all aware that the council want to move the playground to pave the way for the pub - which would result in children having to walk past/through the pub car park to access the playground after school. The recent expansion of the school population, without an expansion of land, makes the free space of the next door playground and recreation ground ever more valuable. To lose any of this would be a tragedy. In the summer months when the school classrooms have their windows open, they are currently open to the sounds and smells of a recreation ground. The future proposes they will have to contend with the smells and sounds emanating from the back of a pub. Shame on you Woking Borough Council |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Try and improve transparency of your decision-making process |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | You should amend B to show clearly that the pub would still be built. This is misleading |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It would be a ridiculous expense to move the existing playground to a new site near an extremely busy main road.. |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want the park moved further away from the schools. My children spent many happy years playing there after school. I cannot imagine it being convenient for a quick after school play if you move it onto a busy main road, which has its own implications for health & safety. I understand that you also wish to replace the current playground with a pub, should residents be foolish enough to vote for option B in your attempt to dangle a carrot of better park facilities and space. Surely WBC cannot be saying it is better for children to come out of school to eat chips in a pub than it is to play outside? This seems to be the choice you are attempting to make. I do not understand why it is not possible to have all the benefits of option B in its current location? Why only spend the extra money if you move it? (If that money is provided by the council and not alternative funding from Marstons????) Surely there must be leeway for the same/similar area where it is now...especially once that eyesore of a public toilet (which I do not recollect ever having been open in my lifetime!) is removed. Come on, WBC, do the decent thing and give West Byfleet residents what they want. Listen to us! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Best value for money and is the best location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Find another location for the pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | In this economic climate it is a wicked waste of money to spend such a huge amount of money on a play area like option B when the existing area simply needs efficient regular maintenance. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the right option, as it ensures a play location in its current location, next to the school and keeps the recreation ground as it is. Option B is misleading as the additional funding for this is coming from a planning approval from Marston's for a public house / restaurant on the existing play site and puts this pub in a very unsavoury location next to an infant school. Shameful planning!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stoo wasting tax payers money on demolishing and creating new things when the existing ones are perfectly fine. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Destroying a much loved green space regularly used by the community as a whole in search of corporate profit is bad enough. To sell the land to a pub chain (when we haven't asked for one and dont need one) is abhorrent. The fact that the children's park renovation has had funding secured for a long time and yet Woking Borough Council refuses to release the funds makes me question what the real reasons are for stalling. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is inappropriate to build a pub next to the school - why does West Byfleet even need another place to eat??? stop building on our green areas!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do not want a pub on the space |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am strongly against relocation of the play area and also against any developments that involve a pub or any erosion of the recreation ground for reasons that are contrary to its original purpose. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe the Recreation Ground to be a Green Belt area and as such should never be built on . No mention of a pub on to be built on this land ? THIS SHOULD NEVER NEVER HAPPEN |
| I am in favour of Option A | My preferred option is A as proposal B is in the completely wrong area, far too close to the bowling green, and Church which are meant to be quiet areas. Also we do not require a Pub to take over the play areas - completely out of place for our neighbourhood and not required.. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Not sure why this is even being debated as it seems a no brainer to keep the park close to the school and away from any extremely busy main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | No |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why do you fail to mention that option B include the building of a pub? Surely thats an important consideration? Its omission is a sad inditement of Woking Councils failure to be accountable to the electorate |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please just keep the current safe location and do not develop the green space any more. Thank you. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area should be bought up to standard as soon as possible. It is a disgrace that the borough has had the money so long without using it for the benefit of the local resident children and families. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This keeps the playground adjacent to the schools and away from Parvis Road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option a to keep the playground next to the school No school needs a pub right next door - children would have to make their way from the school, via the pub and car park to their playground on a busy and polluted road - NO THANKS spend the money on a playground to service the local community, please don't add further problems to the area - extra unwanted traffic on a busy school road to service the pub, broken glass, unwanted noise associated with a pub, alcohol and child protection concerns with a pub right next to a school and the park, miles away from the school listen to the community please and keep our playground where it is and just spend the allocated money improving the park facilities |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | The current playground is in an excellent location and should be retained. Children play here before and after school and thus it is an important social space for students of the West Byfleet Junior and Infant schools and their families. A larger, more expensive play area in the less convenient location of option B would likely be underutilised. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am appalled by Woking Borough's lack of transparency regarding this matter. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I was born in Elmstead Road and have lived here for more than 40 years. I attended the then secondary school next door to the Rev. We spent many a happy hour with our 3 children when they were young in the playground. I would like to be able to do the same with my grandchildren when they arrive. There are enough licensed premises in West Byfleet that struggle for patronage - we do not need another. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | In perfect location beside school wherewitwheris well useduallused unalterable rounf |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is by far the better position for a playground near to the schools and away from the busy Parvis Road. It uses existing allocated funding. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This project is long overdue and overwhelmingly supported by the local community |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am in favour of option A I see no reason to move the playground from its existing site, who in their right mind would move the playground and put it next to a main road, all that pollution, plus all the added dangers that putting the play area there would entail, whether there is a 'high fence' around it or not. I also see no reason why the existing playground can't be extended sideways, there is plenty of space and it wouldn't disturb the football pitches, as I see it the council want that space for the 'proposed pub'!!! There has been money available for the playground refurb for a number of years which the council have chosen to 'sit on' whist our children have had to make do with the sad excuse for a playground., how come there is all that money available for option B and only a vastly reduced amount for option A... that word 'PUB' springs to mind again!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Bitterly opposed to option B. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am strongly opposed to moving the playground from its current location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Â£300,000 seems a massive investment and no doubt involves other things happening to fund it, although disappointingly these are not mentioned |
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location close to the school is ideal for families to access before & after pick up times. Camphill Road access works well. Shade is afforded by trees on the boundary. The play area is tucked away from the main thoroughfare therefore making it less obvious to passing traffic and any undesirable attention - local people know where the play area is! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I would prefer the existing playground be extended by the same amount as option b but along camphill road from the existing site . |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why cant you extend option A by the same amount along Camphill Road? That would be a sensible solution. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The badly needed development of the West Byfleet Recreation Ground play area is well overdue. Clearly the sensible option is to get on with this long ago promised development at its current location, Option A. Relocation to Option B would need further consultation, undoubtedly wasting yet more time and unnecessarily consume valuable council funds considering the vastly increased budget required. The proposed relocation itself would be inappropriately located on a very busy road which makes little sense for a children's play area and would be further away from the schools that frequent the area most regularly. There is fear within the community that the current location of the play area will be replaced with a separate development that would remove designated green space from the Recreation Ground. It would be completely unacceptable to the community to remove designated green space and irreparably damage the area. It is abundantly obvious that Option A is preferable to Option B |
| I am in favour of Option A | Shame on you Woking Council. This has dragged on for over two years with the funding in situ. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Completely underhand consultation that aims to deliberately mislead the public into selecting option b, knowing that this then leaves the space next the school for the planned pub which is conveniently not mentioned. There is no valid reason why the renovations and space associated with option b, cannot be applied to option a. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Donâ€™t place a pub near a school... |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think your wording for these options is very misleading. Fortunately I am a frequent user of the rec and existing park, and therefore know the value of the play area situated where it is next to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is a much safer one, as the playing area will not be adjacent to a main very busy road. Would also like to add I am totally opposed to the idea of building another drinking outlet in West Byfleet which will only bring more problems of vandalisim / congestion/ and bad behaviour. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Building a pub directly next to infant and junior school is wrong as isrelocating playground directly next to the main road with fast constant traffic and higher pollution levels for children to breathe in. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the safest and more family friendly. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is nearer to the school and away from the danger and fumes of the main road. Itâ€™s rudicrous to spend Â£300 000 on a new play ground when the existing one is perfect if a little small |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is not in an appropriate place next to the busy Parvis Road. The danger of children running out into the road and the fumes from the constant stream of traffic is a major health risk. |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel that the proposed location of the pub outlined in option B is inappropriate given the proximity of the adjacent school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am really concerned that Option B places the play area next to a busy road. a) The road is really dangerous - my own daughter was hit by a car at exactly this location in May 2009 - she only survived as a result of the actions of the air ambulance crew b) There are a large number of heavily polluting Diesel 4x4s and commercial vehicles on this road - given the impact & sensitivity around NOx inhalation this is a crazy place to move the play area next to the road c) The government is already subject to legal action over failing to meet legal limits on NO2 levels - there is a significant risk that the council will open itself up to potential legal action from residents organisations. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The location of option A is much safer. The recreation area should be next to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is market research done really badly. You have failed to provide all the information for an informed decision eg the relocation of the playground (option b) is to be able to build a pub! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Stop selling off valuable green space. Nobody in West Byfleet actually supports your vision to destroy the village. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I would like the playground updated but definitely not a pub or housing on the playing field |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub please |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Play areas next to busy road is very unwise due to pollution from heavy traffic which has a disproportionately harmful effect on children. There is insufficient public greenspace in West Byfleet and this should be upgraded not developed. |
| I am in favour of Option A | West Byfleet is a lovely small village, ideal for families. It's outrageous that we don't have a suitable play area for our children to enjoy. Placing a pub on the site of the existing play area, cutting down valuable trees to allow access to cars and moving the playground closer to a busy road is simply unacceptable. Do the people who come up with these ideas actually live in the ward? Do they speak to residents and ask them what is important to them? Or are they simply look for the easiest way to make money and in the process deceive the people who have placed their trust in them? This whole thing has been extremely disappointing. |
| I am in favour of Option A | i share the same concerns as my wife |
| I am in favour of Option A | I want a safe play area for me, my sister and my friends to be able to play in. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I'm in favour of option A because I feel that the current site should be used as a playground. It has been a space to play for many years and is part of the tapestry of West Byfleet park's history. It is also an ideal location next to the schools providing a play area for the children that attend the schools before and after school (younger children can be taken to the park after dropping older siblings at school). I am against option B because it places the playground far from the schools and near to a busy, polluted road. I am from the area and have known people killed on Parvis Road. I am wholly against this location and its potential threat to the local children's safety. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We had a lovely play afternoon in the park during the last week of school. It made us realise just important the trees are for providing shade. We don't want a play area close to the main road nor to a pub! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have two kids who goes to school next to the park it would be great if they have a nicer playground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is next to two primary schools and is in the best location, for child safety and parental convenience. Plan B would put the playground near to a very busy main road, which will have higher air pollution and not be as safe. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is outrageous that Woking Council are actually seriously considering locating a pub next to an infant & junior school and children's public playground. Clearly there financial incentives for the council or councillors who actually think that this is a good idea. Shame on you! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keeping the play area next to the schools and away from the extremely busy main road is by far the most sensible option. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please keep my playground next to my school, we love it there and I would be scared to use it if you moved it next to busy Parvis Road |
| I am in favour of Option A | I want my playground where it is, I'm only 5 so don't need a pub next to my school instead. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please let me have a nice playground next to my nursery. Keep it where it is and make it better before I'm too old to use it |
| I am in favour of Option A | Have a proper consultation and don't try to sneak things past us. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | There are enough pubs in the local area and this play area is important to local families. If this is an effort to prevent young people drinking in the park I do not believe that encouraging them into a pub will work. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep it near the school - less disruption, better access and further from the main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is established, right next to the school and should be updated and enlarged! It would be madness to re-site the playground off Parvis Rd and build a pub next to a school. If WB needs a pub?? Why not get Marstons to build it into the Sheer House scheme? Option A is the safest and most logical plan. |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no mention of the pub. This is underhand and a misrepresentation of the motivation behind and reason for this late in the day consultation. My children have all used the park over the years and it is in need of upgrade as it has been neglected compared to park provision elsewhere locally. However moving it to another part of the park near the even busier Parvis road and building a pub right next to the school is madness on the grounds of Health and Safety, never mind the moral grounds of a pub next to a school. No mention is made of the secret meeting between WBC and the brewers nor the sale of land which was gifted to the community. Shame on you. You are supposed to work for the residents not against them. West Byfleet seems to be the focus of much undesired development in the disgrace of the proposed flats to replace Sheer House, the exclusion of local businesses and the destruction already of our village -yes village- not town or extension of Woking -centre. And then you want to dump a gas station on us too. No to it all. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Sorry to say but the Council has not exactly covered itself in glory on this issue! Badly managed to say the least |
| I am in favour of Option A | The relocation proposed in Option B would detract from the West Byfleet structure. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think the playground is best where it is now. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I judge that the way that WBC have managed this issue has been completely inappropriate. Firstly the delay in implementing improvements to the existing playground have led to an unacceptable delay - resulting in children not having appropriate facilities to enjoy for many years. Secondly comments made by councillor Azad in a recent council meeting where she implied that parents had not been consulted - despite the numerous activities of residents - not to mention the Neighbourhood Plan which was passed in a referendum. In addition, I judge that WBC have failed to fully disclose - in this communication - the discussions that have taken place regarding the potential further development on the site of the current playground. In my view, this is duplicitous. Despite a formal request from the Chairman of the WBNF, WBC have failed to provide any details of how the survey will be assessed, independently verified, etc. & how any results will be balanced against the much larger democratic exercise of the referendum on the West Byfleet Neighbourhood Plan. Overall, shoddy behaviour - please "Listen More, talk less". |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have chosen option A as it is not clear why, if the council provide a new improved playground, it has to move location. Surely if that is what the council feels the children in the borough deserve it would not need to be moved closer to a main road. This leads me to suspect that the sweetener of a bigger playground is to ensure that option B is the most popular and only then will we find out what the "ulterior motive" is. If it is something that the council feels sure residents will approve of why would they need to hide it? We lived in West Byfleet for 19 years and moved a few streets away which took us into Runnymede. However West Byfleet is still the nearest large recreation park and field to us so we still have a vested interest and so I feel compelled to speak our. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A children's play area should not be moved next to a busy main road and a quiet bowls club. Improving and extending the existing site should be the outcome. |
| I am in favour of Option A | To build a pub on this site next to a primary school seems to be inappropriate. There are shops empty all over the borough which could be refurbished to provide a pub/restaurant. Are the council going to provide school places elsewhere for the parents who don't want their children next door to a pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | With obesity so high at present our local parks are so vital for our children's health. They also need to be safe and secure and walking through a pub parking area really doesn't say safe to me. Please don't build on our playground!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think the way that this has been approached and no mention of a public house in option B is unworthy of a local authority who are supposed to be working to improve the lives of the rate payers. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing location of the playground is far superior to the proposed new location next to a busy main road. It would be more cost effective to enlarge and refurbish the existing playground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The position of the playground next to the school provides an invaluable facility. A larger playground would make it difficult to keep smaller children safe, why not keep the playground where it is and provide additional facilities elsewhere? |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why is there a funding difference between the two options? The current location (Option A) is in the perfect spot. Option B sounds great (of course it does - the way it's been worded) until you realise that the new location is right next to a very busy main road, out of the way of local schools and pedestrians..... and frees up the land to be sold to Marston's pub group which Woking Borough Council have already agreed to do! #savewestbyfleetrec |
| I am in favour of Option A | Unnecessary expense on a new playground when the existing one could be improved. It is located right next to the school and easily accessible by village residents. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The present area is next to the school this allows parents with young children to easily access it after dropping off older siblings or just before picking them up at the end of the school day. There is parking in Camphill Road which is not as fast a road as Parvis Road and is not subject to as much pollution as option B. I do not think the proposed pub should be next to the school and do we need another one in the village anyway? Finally I object to the amount which would be needed for option B when cutback are being made elsewhere |
| I am in favour of Option A | A children's play area should not be placed next to a main road due to safe guarding, pollution and safety. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the playground next to the school - it's a logical place for it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | How ridiculous to want to build a pub next to a school. All people do is moan about kids roaming the streets or being on computers all day long..make a better playground for goodness sake and stop being so stupid! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why move a greatly utilised play park next door to a school and replace it with a pub? Ludicrous. Local families use this green space all the time. And surely a local park should be for the benefit of local families. Not for the council to make a quick buck! |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | With regards to option B itâ€™s â€œoutrageousâ€ and â€œunthinkableâ€ to move the play area to the otherside of the â€œRecâ€, not only is it quite a distance from the schools but thinking of moving it to the fast, noisy and polluted side next of the Parvis Road is very worrying. I would like to ask the question of, do we have intelligent adults working in the council that are seriously thinking of moving the childrenâ€™s play area to the Parvis Road side of the â€œRecâ€? Iâ€™m sure you are aware of how dangerous this road is with there being fatalities! Quite frankly to spend Â£300,000 of our money to move it here and see one child get killed is a sickening thought. My vote is for the 30,000 Option A although 100,000 would be better seeing as you have 300,000 to spend on a ludicrous idea! Letâ€™s continue to see the happy children and parents play safely in the existing playground! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We live in 9 Pinehurst gardens KT14 6HB and we want the existing area to be kept. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Given the publicity around Obesity, I would have thought that any 'thinking and responsible' Council Councillors would support and promote greater recreational area and activities rather than sell off to a bidder. So who is promised the 'Bung' and juicy job when they leave the Council. Regards T Whatley |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | It makes no sense to locate the pub next to a main rd, its only being proposed because marstons want to buy our current playground, crazy !!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Iâ€™m appalled by the plans to move the park to a worse location, all to install a pub in its place. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area should stay where it is. It is much safer for children and is visible from Camphill Road, which makes parents feel safer if they are on there own with children and prevents it being vandalised as there are regularly locals passing by. If anything the playarea should be extended and better toilets provided. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | A recreation ground is an open space for children and adults to enjoy. I really cannot understand why you want to consider allowing a pub to be built on it which would completely spoil the atmosphere of the current open space. In addition, moving the play area next to a very busy main road is dangerous from the point of view of a child running out onto a fast moving road as well as breathing in more traffic fumes. Please leave the rec as it is. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why are there different budgets/sizes depending on the option selected? A playground next to the schools instead of by a busy road makes more sense. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub should be built on this green belt land |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub should be built on this ground, we need to keep this green belt area |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This consultation is only necessary as Woking council want to sell it the current playground to Marstons so they can build a pub on it. The new play area would be next to a busy noisy main road, crazy!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am disgusted and infuriated by the very notion of using land designated for recreational use for a pub. This land is NOT owned by WBC and therefore not theirs to do with as they please. A pub next to a school is morally, ethically and socially unacceptable, what a vile and thoughtless concept. Obviously this underhand manoeuvre is intended to line the pockets of the council and the pub, which a revitalised playground that we so sorely need will not do. Shame on you. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why put a pub next to a school? Madness |
| I am in favour of Option A | I note from the wording of this consultation that there is a blatant attempt to hide the full set of facts. There is no mention in Option B of the building of a Marstons' pub next to two schools. The question is loaded to try and get people to choose the obvious answer, i.e. the one that looks like Woking Council is spending more money on the playground. I hope people are not so foolish as to be conned by this and that Woking Council does what it really should and look after the interests of the community, the young people who are the future of the area and not look to be lining their pockets from a commercial development. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Playground is already ideally sited next door to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The choosing of option A is compatible with the needs of West Byfleet and desire of all residents in the area to help keep the Recreation ground, in it's present form, as a green space for generations to come. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am not in favour of a family restaurant in the recreation ground |
| I am in favour of Option A | Please do not loose any recreation ground in West Byfleet and update the excisting playground area for children!! NO PUB!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel that not mentioning the building of a pub as part of option B is grossly misleading. This is very relevant information, why has it been omitted? |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location is perfect and it's heavily used children's park but missing the necessary equipment. If this can be achieved by investing Â£60k then don't see a reason for investing Â£300k in alternative location as that amount can be be used some where else. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The facility seems ideally placed next to the school. It has always been a very good playground, meeting local needs, until it was not really maintained properly. The access to this playground is more convenient than to the newly proposed one, and the road beside it, although busy, does not have traffic flowing at such speed as the new proposal. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | You are totally misleading people and on purpose. Absolutely no mention that the Â£300k available is due the fact a pub/restaurant is proposed to be put on the recreation ground. Your tactics are dishonest and disgraceful. You also failed to mention the Â£55k available is what the people of West Byfleet have raised themselves. We do not want another pub or restaurant!! Just use the money raised to rejuvenate the play area which has needed doing for 3 years now. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area should remain close to the school and away from the polluted Parvis Road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This option allows the children of West Byfleet and the surrounding area to play safely beside very popular schools. It is in a perfect position, allowing parents to park in the adjacent lay-by when necessary. It's play equipment would be enhanced by spending the money raised sometime ago for this very purpose. Woking Borough Council, you need to use this money correctly and as soon as possible- the playground and residents of West Byfleet have waited long enough for this to happen. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I object to the trustees (Woking BC) selling or leasing any part of the West Byfleet Recreation Ground or to them allowing Marston's to build on it. I would like to know who owns the title of the land; and if WBC proceeds, who will benefit from the income of any sale or lease arrangement and how that fulfils the charitable objectives of the Recreation Ground. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A gets 100% of my vote. It's the perfect location for the play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The manner in which this Consultation is being conducted is an affront to the community and a disgrace to democracy. The wording of the questions is pathetically manipulative. No representative body should be permitted to conduct itself with such a total disregard for the honesty and integrity of the ballot box. Mr Kemp, I have no idea who is advising you but they and you should resign. Please just go. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I want Option A with a larger footprint alongside the Camphill Road. The current location, beside the schools, is the only sensible location. Option B must be *rejected* due to it's location; it is unsafe (out of sight) and unhealthy (too close to a major road, exhaust fumes and the speed limit is too high) for the children. What parent would want to put their child into such an unsafe environment? |
| I am in favour of Option A | Air quality is currently a huge problem, especially for our children. Please don't subject our children to more fumes by moving the play area closer to the road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why create a new play area at an increased cost, when the existing one can be extended. Whatever happens I am completely against a pub being built where the play area is. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Location of existing play area next to Camphill Road is a safer location for children and parents attending and travelling thereto with the possibility of car parking. Whereas being next to Parvis Road which is frequently very busy is potentially more dangerous and less convenient for parents and children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Children's play area being sited so close to Parvis Road seems ridiculous to me apart from the danger risk. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Having viewed option B I cannot understand, with business units empty in the centre of West Byfleet why you think a new pub building nextdoor to a school is a suitable proposition. Even the act of saying it makes it sound like a comedy sketch, it's so ill judged. There is a reason it's unheard of, maybe you should investigate that! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is totally unacceptable and will be further reducing our 'Green Area'. We want our children to maximise their opportunity to play in a safe and secure green belt area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is Green Belt area and NOT for Commercial use. We need to keep our children active and not use a pub as they grow up. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We must preserve what public green space we have in West Byfleet |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>The relocation of the existing playground to the proposed location of Option B is completely unsuitable. The playground being currently located on a side road, adjoining a primary school, provides easy (and relatively safe given the use of the road and existing zebra crossing) access to the rest of the village and car parking areas (I should comment that, given the location of the Pyrford Common play area the West Byfleet Recreation Ground is often the more practical choice/location for many Pyrford residents and therefore parking accessibility is a notable factor). To move the same to alongside a very busy A road, just after a junction (and therefore at a point where cars rapidly increase their speed as they pull away) defies logic on safety and environmental grounds. Whether or not there is a secure gated entrance, the deliberate siting of a "new" play area in such a location is inappropriate and requires users to either walk across the whole recreation ground to access or walk along a busy road to access. It is an accident waiting to happen. It also exposes the users to increased pollution and a much less appealing environment to play in.</p> <p>The existing location adjoining the village primary school also enables families to make good use of the play area before/after school and for other parents/siblings whilst children attend clubs and classes at the school. Lastly, it provides the school pupils with a pleasant and appropriate setting/neighbour. What would their outlook be if the play area was moved?</p> <p>It is disappointing to see the consultation launched with such greatly differing budgets for the two options and yet no explanation as to the source of funds behind each/reason for the difference. One can only suspect it is due to the higher Option B budget funds being dependent on or linked to the proposed re-development of the existing play area as a Marstons' pub.</p> <p>Given that Â£55,000 of the Â£60,000 budget for Option B has already been secured by private individuals, the consultation highlights a number of unanswered questions - such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - where are the balance of funds coming from for each option/who is funding this? - is the Â£55,000 already secured forming part of the budget for Option B? - whether there is scope for an Option C (i.e. retain the existing location but secure further funding to provide some features of Option B, such as all-weather terrain which would benefit the community) - if not, why not given that Option B is being proposed with such a large budget required; - what is going to replace the existing play area (this is obviously known to residents given the widely publicised Marstons' proposals but the consultation makes no reference to this) - timescales for implementation - and would the option B works be fully completed and operational prior to any demolition/closure of the existing play area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is too close to Parvis Rd |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is in an unsuitable location |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing location is ideal for a playground. It is extremely popular. It is entirely in keeping with environment. A new playground should not be close to the main road (as option B is) for safety and health reasons. The current arrangement gives easy access for parents (parking in Camphill Road) and is well shaded under the existing mature trees. It is not directly behind a football pitch, which could cause a problem. Â£60k to enlarge the existing is far better value for money than Â£300k for a new play area. The existing equipment can be refurbished - the timber kit could have a long life ahead of it if steel shoes were used, nets and ropes replaced etc. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area in Option B, is too close to bowling green and the Church, as well being far too near the main Parvis Road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We don't need another pub in West Byfleet. We are already over subscribed with places to eat and drink. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I find the information misleading. Making option B "look" like the better option when in reality it is not! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>Why is there any need for an additional Pub for West Byfleet? Next door to Children's' Primary and Junior schools? Anyone thought about the anti-social behaviour aspect that a Pub can create, let alone the additional traffic congestion on Camp Hill Road? Would YOU wish to live directly opposite the Pub? What's going to happen to the trees, the loss of Park land - what's it going to feel like as a parent looking after young children with their playground right next door to a Pub?</p> <p>And whatever happened to 'The curtilage' around St John's Church - NO pubs allowed in this area - hence the location of the 'Catherine of Aragon' - sorry - 'Station' pub.</p> <p>Option 'B' is a JOKE! THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND POUNDS! Plus the proposed (POOR) new location right by a busy road (Parvis Road). Talk about a misuse of public funds... Anyone notice the potholes and appalling road surface around the existing shops and restaurants nearby?</p> <p>Go for Option 'A', then think about using the 'extra' Â£240,000 to improve the roads and pavements. And how about putting cycle barriers at each end of the tunnel under the railway line (by the Station) to stop the 'BAD' bikers charging through without getting off their bikes?</p> <p>They scare the 'LIVING DAYLIGHTS' out of pedestrians! CCTV - doing what? Physical Insurance Policies (ie. Barriers) please!</p> <p>Priorities ... PLEASE!</p> <p>Let West Byfleet have a GREAT - AND WELL PLANNED - future. Today's - and future - residents, deserve it!</p> <p>(I haven't even mentioned about the proposed new (24/7) GAS fueled power plant on the other side of the railway line by the Camp Hill Estate ...</p> <p>And we're worried about diesel fumes from cars etc?</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is in a toxic location, the funding is not yet approved. Option B will take an unacceptable time to implement. Option A disrupts the unacceptable pub proposal. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe that children's needs should be put first over commercial interests regarding a public house. |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | The current location of the park is perfect due to its proximity to the schools and the usage the children who attend make of it. The equipment must be upgraded and replaced as previously promised. Moving the park would be totally inappropriate! |
| I am in favour of Option A | It's nice to have it next to the school as the children often play here on the way to and from school. Maybe a smaller option B could also be included for older children to give them an area but away from the smaller children as teenagers often hang around for 'something' to do. The zip wire is a firm favourite so I hope you will keep this. Maybe a couple of extra swings |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The position of the play area must remain unchanged, but there should also be a plan to create a car parking area long the Camphill Road between the play area and the Bowls Club to encourage greater use of the recreation ground & ease the parking problems along Madeira Road etc during weekends when football & cricket are being played. A new pavilion is also required incorporating toilets & a small cafe facility for take-away drinks/ice cream. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It's a good location, far from the main Parvis Road and close to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Having a pub anywhere near the school should not be entertained |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is appropriate and well used next to the school...it is a local gem and used by families at the school daily |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is scandalous that the Council is proposing to put a public house on the recreation ground. A pub in this location is neither needed nor wanted by residents. What makes this worse is that the Sheerwater recreation ground has already been designated for development leaving a deficit of public playing fields in this part of the Borough. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The park is next door to my daughters nursery and it's such a shame that the space is so underdeveloped and badly maintained |
| I am in favour of Option A | The need is for quality of facilities rather than significant increase, and a modest extension (and related modest budget) seems the most sensible proposal by a large margin. I am strongly opposed to the development of a pub on the rec grounds, and saddened that the potential link between Option B and the pub is not made explicitly clear. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Don't waste valuable resources on replacing a facility that can be improved for a 5th of the cost. Spent the £250,000 saved on public services |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the playpark where it is next to the school. that is the best option for the children who use it |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The children's play area should not be next to the busy main road for safety and air pollution reasons. I am not in favour of the proposed Marstons pub. We don't need it. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am also not in favour of the proposed Marstons pub. There is not a local need for an extra pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Very disappointed in the wording of this form. Totally misleading the public. Of course we all want the playground to stay next to the school! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am totally persuaded that the children's play area next to the junior school is the right and proper choice. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Do we really need a pub or bar in the village more pubs are closing And a pub or bar next to school are the planners in scenes really |
| I am in favour of Option A | Local children use this playground and it's ideally situated moving it next to the main road is a insane safety issue. Please use the 60k sensibly |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is a well used recreational area by the whole community - young and old. It's at the heart of the village for everyone to enjoy and can be reached on foot. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A large pub is not needed and is certainly not suitable for this area of West Byfleet. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have a young child and we love the West Byfleet recreation ground in the location where it is. It is useful being next to the school and parents with young children can go there after dropping off school age children or after school with all children. If a pub was put here or nearby it would completely change the feel and safety of such a park. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | West Byfleet does not need a pub located there, improve the existing play area and locate the pub (if you have to) on the far side of the park, were it does not interfere with, school, local residents and parking. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I understand that there is to be a public house erected. We do not need another public house. What about the trees do they have a Tree Preservation Order on them is so the trees cannot be lopped felled or cut down. The children should come first not people who just want to make a profit at any chance they can with the consideration of others. A pub would ruin the village. Also is there not a covenant on the land from the Stoop family that here should be no public houses in West Byfleet!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | Great location for playground in A as opposed to moving it next a busy noisy Parvis road . Does not make sense for small children to walk through a pub carpark where accidents are likely as drivers will find them difficult to see. Waste of public money moving and relocating equipment too. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | Given the council have already come to an arrangement with Marstons to potentially sell some of this public land, it is disingenuous if not deceitful to omit this information and Marstons plans from the consultation. It appears that the purpose of this is to try and lead residents to opt for the larger playground, without giving any details or context of what the consequences will be. Consultation without all the facts is a sham and I am very disappointed in how this has been handled by RBC |
| I am in favour of Option A | As a former pupil of the adjacent schools I cannot imagine not having a park right next door for playing in after school. Moving it so much further away would be highly inconvenient for parents and also very worrying next to such a busy road. The air would also be more polluted. Please do not move our much loved park. We just want it to be upgraded! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We moved to the area a few years ago based on it being a community village with accessible green space and chose the schools accordingly. My children have attended the nursery and both schools we have been to the park most days since. I feel strongly that the idea of moving our park (to potentially make way for a pub that would be visible from school) and placing our park closer to a main road is detrimental to the local area and the safety and health of its families. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Surely questions will be asked as to why there is more budget available to move the playground (OptionB) and the same budget is not made available to enhance the playground in its current location (OptionA) which is the location much preferred by all of us Residents. I would like to see all the same budget from optionB allocated to further enhance optionA. Call it Option A+ where a beautiful, fit for purpose and future proof playground is built. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I strongly object a Pub in the recreation ground and close to school and church. Children need safe and unpolluted environment to play. Option B is a dangerous location and close to a very busy and polluted road. This is where a pub should. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is in the best possible location and will benefit from extension. We need a safe and nice environment for our children during the next years where the town centre will go through development. Option B is financially not viable and too close to a polluted busy road during years to come. No place for children!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | The sooner this can happen the better, completed with the money already allocated. Having children aged 6 & 8 they have missed out on enjoying the playground to its full potential over the last 5 years due to its poor state. We have had to travel to neighbouring facilities which are far superior - yet moved to West Byfleet 6 years ago from London with the aim of not having to get in a car every time I wanted to go out with the kids. So i feel quite let down by all this procrastination and bureaucracy delaying the refurbishment. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have lived in west Byfleet for over 50 years my children played in the park and now my grandchildren loved to play there . Please don't deprive them of this space so conveniently placed next to the school and away from main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want my children to play next to a busy main road with the increased risk of pollution. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | the current location of play area is great as next to schools. i would be very concerned about it being moved and the possibility if a pub being built next to school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | In a far better, more useful and safer location away from the busy and dangerous Parvis Road where many accidents have occurred recent years. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Ridiculous idea moving the playground away from the current safe environment next to the local schools over to the busy Paris Road side of the park. Misleading the public by offering to spend more money on Option B so you can put a pub next to the school! |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need a pub next to a junior school. My 8 year old daughter currently goes to West Byfleet school and they play safely near the school.how can anyone think that putting a pub next to a junior school need there head examining.i please ask that for once you put the safety of the children first. If the Park is moved you will also be putting next to a main road. No one will use the pub as anyone buying flats in West Byfleet will probably be commuters work and eating in London and just use as some were to sleep.PLEASE STOP THIS VERY BAD IDEA |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Closer to the school from which all children attending nursery and infants and juniors play - in view of waiting parents who do the school run and not stuck behind the bowling away from the safety of parents collecting siblings from school and nursery |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option b is too close to a main road and too far away from the school - less safe and less useful |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am appalled at the complete lack of transparency relating to this consultation, and feel it falls far short of the standards that one would expect for a public consultation. You have failed to explain why the two locations will have different sizes, why the cost differs so greatly, and how it will be funded. You should be ashamed for trying to hide information and mislead the people you are paid to provide services for. |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is time to stop the ridiculous idea of a pub-restaurant being built on a part of the rec. [NOTE: I do not currently live in the area but am a very frequent visitor and I grew up here.] |
| I am in favour of Option A | we know Option B will result in a pub restaurant car park being built on the site and detrimental to local housing and traffic on Camphill Road |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Ideal location next to the schools and the village allows and encourages its use by children. Also safer with the crossings by the schools and away from the main (Parvis) Road. Parents can more confidently allow children to play independently in this less exposed area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B takes the playground much too close to Parvis road with all that entails with increasing traffic. This whole exercise seems like a very disengenuous effort on the part of Woking Council who have delayed and delayed in order to try and get the alternative development of the Pub to be accepted. This would obviously bring in more income to WBC, but it is our children and their future that should be a priority |
| I am in favour of Option A | Don't let them build the pub! |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe it is important that the play area is not placed next to the Parvis road. When children play in the play area they often also use the fields around the fenced play area for other fun (ball games, etc). Being on a road as busy as Parvis road would not be safe and so would not be suitable or sensible. I can't think of another local play area (including such as Byfleet, Woking, Chertsey Meads, Weybridge, Brooklands) that is situated on a main road. I don't understand why Option A is given a £60k budget whereas Option B is given 5 times that budget. This suggests that WBC have an axe to grind other than play area improvement. If WBC is prepared to spend £300k creating an improved play area, imagine what could be done spending the same money on Option A. I also don't understand why WBC is making the two options so different in size and scope. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not want to see the proposed pub development take place in any shape or form. The green space at West Byfleet Recreation Ground is highly valued and the present position of the playground is perfect for children going home after school. The proposed pub development would ruin the whole space with yet more cars and noise, unfriendly to local children who should have a far greater claim on resources than a national pub chain. |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is an empty pub at the end of our road on Faris Lane. Why do we need another one? Why put adult drinkers interests before the kids'? |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is a fantastic children and sport facility for w.byfleet /plus surrounding area. There are already sufficient pubs/eating places |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area needs renovating ASAP so option A would be quicker. It's current location is suitable as it is easily accessible The play area shouldn't be next to the main, busy road with noise and pollution and the danger of children getting to the road The play area shouldn't be at the far end of the Rec where the basketball courts are as it is too far away |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Upgrade the playground area now, we have many young children with parents using the playground local to the school. This should not be relocated to the polluted, noisy side by the Parvis Road |
| I am in favour of Option A | As my previous comments but think I ticked B incorrectly - Very concerned that the open recreational space in West Byfleet originally provided for the use of West Byfleet residents should be taken away for the construction of a public house - we do not require more eating places in West Byfleet. This valuable area must be kept for the benefit of the residents and in particular young children and cricket / football groups. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is much too near to a very busy road - Parvis Road - this road is constantly busy with lorries buses etc and i feel children would be in more danger on that side of the field. The park option A is on a much quieter road with speed bumps and I feel the much better plot. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No public house should be built on public recreation grounds |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why can't the council propose the same budget costs for both play areas? This area is for the children and it should not be used as a way to manipulate the preferred beneficial option of the council! The council should be thinking about the children! |
| I am in favour of Option A | It is very hard to comprehend the logic behind the proposal to build a pub alongside the Junior, Infant and Nursery Schools of West Byfleet and on the site of the playground that has existed in this location for many decades. The proposal to move the playground close to a busy, noisy, pollution road is not acceptable! Especially when funding has already been secured to upgrade it in situ. The majority of the UNSECURED cost of the new playground would be spent moving it, which seems like a phenomenal waste of money, not to mention a great risk given that it is, as I have mentioned, unsecured. Many children use the playground on a daily basis. Instead of entering the playground from their school, they would find themselves walking through a pub car park. It appears the prime focus is only on MONEY and not on what is best for the health and welfare of the children and other members of the community. These plans are shameful. This recreation green has been at the heart of the West Byfleet community for a very long time and is frequently used by residents of all ages for a variety of communal activities. I can only conclude the children and families of those promoting the building of this pub are not living in West Byfleet and therefore the negative aspects of this proposal will not have a detrimental effect on them. I strongly object to the current playground being moved. It should be kept alongside the schools where it belongs, and upgraded with the funding which has already been secured. |
| I am in favour of Option A | For the sake of the community and common sense, do not site a public house and car park next to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why do we need another pub in West Byfleet? We should upgrade the park (have you seen the one in Weybridge?) and no pub! |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | I can see that you are trying to push for option B, as it all sounds more attractive, but I feel that it is entirely inappropriate to have a pub next to a school. Having option B would mean noise and disruption for churchgoers and the the patrons of the bowling club. The existing site is also very convenient for children coming out of school, and a safer option. Option A would be cheaper, as the area, except for the small extension, is already prepared, whereas Option B would need to be started from scratch, and therefore attract a greater cost. I believe donations of several thousand pounds has already been raised to update the existing site, so in theory, perhaps you don't need to be taking Marstons wishes into consideration. Although there is no mention of the pub in this consultation, this is obviously the driver. There has been a lot of debate about whether Woking Borough Council has the right to develop the recreation ground, as it was gifted to the residents of West Byfleet. I for one am unhappy with the prospect of a car park for 90 vehicles on the rec, as this would take up valuable green space, which should be protected, and shouldn't be used to provide additional car parking for the woeful provision intended for the 230 odd flats in the unwanted Sheer House development. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | So - First the CEO of the Council has a secret meeting with his colleagues and agrees to build a Pub on a playground adjacent to a Primary School and on a busy road that already has speed bumps and a 20MPH limit. He does so with absolutely no consultation. Then , when rightly challenged by local families has the audacity to try and bribe residents to vote to move the playground to make way for the Pub - by offering a refurbishment of Â£300k rather than Â£60k. Quite how it is possible to spend Â£300k on a playground is beyond comprehension. You could not make this up. The CEO of Woking Council needs to wake up and smell the coffee - this is no way to treat the children and families of West Byfleet. If this were to get into the National Press ,which no doubt it will , then very serious questions will rightly be asked by both the media and government. Often well intentioned ideas should be explored. And building a Pub could certainly be popular with some, create some local jobs etc. But on a Playground, next to a Primary School , on a restricted road ? This is never going to happen - and it is time for the CEO of the Council to be brave enough to recognise that and stop wasting our money - otherwise the local community will take democratic action at next opportunity and find a CEO who can. Peter Shanks |
| I am in favour of Option A | The play area is at an ideal site as mothers can take their small children there while waiting to collect their older siblings from school. Option B would have children breathing in noxious fumes from the very busy main road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A please, though with the Â£300,000 that youâ€™re setting aside for Option B surely, no?!? |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is plenty of space in the current location and the camphill road location is a significantly less busy road than the main Parvis road making it less noisy and less prone to car pollution. It is also next to the schools making it ideal for the villages children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | This is supposed to be a community facility where any age group can feel safe without the prospect of drunken incidents from a linked drinking establishment. Hopefully the council will listen to teh wishes of the community rather than this being a superficial engagement exercise. We should also publish how each councillor has voted so that they can be held accountable. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Play area is in a good location and surrounding green space should be preserved. We use the recreation ground as a family and the current equipment with the extra Â£60000 investment would be well received. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think this is the best option as its improving what we already have there and it is in a more suitable position being next to the school and next to a quieter road. The Old Woking Road is just too busy. I also feel like the Option B area could be put to better use in the future when the rest of west byfleet town centre is developed and it would be good to keep this clear. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I believe the existing recreation ground is in the right area and is not adjacent to a busy road. It is also close to the school and provides an area where children can play and families can socialise after school and nursery school. However money should be spent on modernising the area and providing a safe environment. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing location is best suited for the facility, away from the busy Parvis Road yet of easy walking distance from the village centre and residential housing. Further, the area retains easy access to sections of the recreation ground where children can stretch their legs with minimum risk. Proximity to the West Byfleet junior and infant schools may also be worth maintaining. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No need for public house - particularly next to a primary school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | West Byfleet is already over supplied with pubs and restaurants, so doe snot need another one. In addition, Option B introduces new dangers. Above all Option B is a breach of the original grant of land and direction by the previous owners- this is a breach of faith by Woking BC and should never have been contemplated. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | no pub on the recreation ground |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | We want to keep our playground where it is |
| I am in favour of Option A | I want me playground fixed as soon as possible. No more delays |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B sites the play area too far away from safe access off Camphill Road and too near the Parvis Road which is very busy. It is hidden behind the bowls club and pavilion and so I believe that there would be safeguarding issues. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Best option, with no pub anywhere near it. Thanks. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option b is too close to the road |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground should remain where it is, next to the schools, and not be moved to another location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think the playground should remain next to the schools and upgraded with the already secured funding. It is the best location for the children and the funds are guaranteed. It is wrong to move the playground away from the schools, close to a busy polluting road with funding that is unsecured. And the increased costs of the new location are primarily associated with moving the equipment, not with providing better equipment for the children. It is a very silly idea, one that has arisen solely to allow a pub to be built where the playground currently sits. We don't want a pub next to our primary schools. We want a playground. Please consider what is best for the children. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Why is there such a difference in budget between the two sites? In addition, option B places the playground next to a busy main road that has no traffic calming measures in place. Option A is in a safer position and convenient for visiting before and after the school day. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I have selected Option A |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B would give rise to safeguarding issues due to the playground area location being hidden by the bowling club and pavilion, it also cites the playground by a busy road. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not support the building of a Pub at this location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | A children's playground is more important on this site than a Pub. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A supports the continuation of play facilities that the community group have been rightly fighting for. It not only serves the community of West Byfleet in its present location but is a vital community provision for the parents and children of West Byfleet Infant and Junior School. It provides a meeting place adjacent to both schools which are in the middle of expanding and rely on this provision to develop community cohesion. The choice of two options with the great difference in funding offered, is to entice people to vote for Option B-VERY short sighted and a disgraceful tactic by the local authority. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The playground is perfect next to the school. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Yes, There is nothing at all for young people in West Byfleet. The playground is a meagre essential. Rather than an utterly pointless Pub taking up the green space you might consider a youth café. Efforts for the young in this town appear to be cold and hopeless todate. The priorities are apparently severely out of order at WBC. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Keep the playground in it's current area. It's in an ideal location next to the school. The proposed option b moves the playground to a busier road which I don't think is suitable |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no Option C for 'no development', therefore such a view is excluded from the survey, and makes the survey unbalanced. Proposal seems to be based on the somewhat flimsy ground that "demand on facilities is expected to increase". Should Council expenditure be based on expectations ? Does the Council have Office of National Statistics evidence of the West Byfleet birth rate and children population ? Option A: has any consideration been given to extending the play area to the south side of the site instead of the east side (as shown on the map) ? Why should the extension be made into the playing field area instead of adjacent to the fence / hedge line along Camphill Road ? Cost seems OK, relatively speaking. Option B: vastly more expensive than Option A, and "separate consultation on the design and equipment" is a green light for consultants to charge high prices which will add to the overall costs. Furthermore, currently there are 9 mature native English trees standing on the proposed Option B site. Why should these be removed, when people enjoy sitting under them - as I learned on my recent visit to the plot ? For me, Option B should be discounted on cost grounds, and for environmental reasons (destruction of mature trees). Lastly, If Option B is not chosen by the Council, the Option B site should not be regarded as a vacant plot for commercial development, about which there seem to be rumours. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Although the other option is a larger an expansion of the existing site will make a vast improvement to the facilities Option B is ,in my opinion, too close to the A245 main Parvis road which, with any development at Broadoaks, will only become busier that its current vehicle flow |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing playground is perfectly placed and should not be moved. It is used by the children who go to the WB schools both before and after school. Is there any reason why the Â£300k which has suddenly become available could not be spent on expanding the existing playground and providing bigger and better equipment? Relocating the playground to a site alongside the main road with all its pollution makes no sense at all. it is clear that should the playground be moved then it would open up the opportunity to locate a pub/restaurant there. The community have made it very clear that they do not wish to see a pub/restaurant on the recreation ground and certainly not alongside the two schools and nursery. Please refurbish the playground as soon as possible so that our children can enjoy it. Delay and prevarication have led to yet another summer with no play equipment. It's not good enough! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | The budget for Option B leaves no more money for additional equipment beyond what is in the existing playground plus the planned upgrade and so a relocated playground will be no better that what is proposed for Option A but in a far worse location. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>This consultation offers money against the safety of West Byfleet children.</p> <p>It offers six times as much money if parents agree to move the playground from a safe environment by a school to a less safe environment further away - adjacent to a very busy main road.</p> <p>It also does not explain why the extra money is only available if the playground moves, even though Marston's Brewery has just revealed it wants to build a pub on the site of the current playground.</p> <p>It adds a further delay to the already long delayed (over 2 years) work on the current playground and no time scale is given on the availability of any of the facilities offered with the larger playground.</p> <p>Residents are naturally suspicious of this offer and it is bound to reflect badly on Woking Council now and in the future. Not only will it cause current residents to distrust Woking Council - their children will also long remember how their parents were forced to balance extra money against their safety.</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I would rather have the park located where it is than have an entrance off Parvis Rd which is much busier with nowhere to park. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option A is the only common sense location for the playground due to its proximity to the school and distance from the main Parvis Road. Option B is an unbelievably ridiculous proposal and I am astonished that supposedly someone has considered that siting a childrens' playground alongside a main A road which has no pedestrian crossing is a rational and acceptable proposal. Anyone with a modicum of common sense would agree that children and main roads do not mix. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B is a ridiculous proposal. Siting a childrens' playground next to a main A road is nothing short of negligence. |
| I am in favour of Option A | I am amazed that Option B has been proposed. You don't have to be that clever to realise that a childrens' playground alongside a major A road isn't really the best idea. I do wonder how much public money was wasted thinking up that bright idea. |
| I am in favour of Option A | The children's play area has been in the same area for 60 years plus, next to the school. Why move it away to the other side close to the main road = dangerous for children plus all that exhaust fumes for little lungs! West Byfleet is dying and as a local resident it is unbearable to watch. The village needs new business in the centre, the soon to be empty Barclays would make a great venue for a pub why destroy the park!!!! |
| I am in favour of Option A | The existing play area is located where it currently stands for a reason. It is adjacent to the school providing a convenient recreational area for children and parents alike that is heavily used on an everyday basis. Why would parents and children want to walk to the far side of the recreational ground to be alongside a congested, noisy polluting main road as indicated with Option B. What this play area consultation fails to highlight is that Option B is driven by the Councils sweetheart deal with Marstons rather than the best interests of the local community. Councillors and the members of the Councils Green Infrastructure Team have a responsibility to present residents with all the facts rather than selective elements that suit their own purpose. |
| I am in favour of Option A | We do not need another pub and pub car park in West Byfleet. The recreation grounds as they are provide a perfect space for children and for adults playing sport. |
| I am in favour of Option A | <p>There is nothing wrong with the existing location, the proposed new location is closer to a louder and busier main road which would endanger children.</p> <p>What would happen to the location of the existing playground?</p> <p>This seems like a cynical ploy by WBC to run a dodgy consultation process with two arbitrary options with no explanation as to the real reason you are asking the question. You clearly want people to pick Option B to help justify your ridiculous plans to build a Pub in a public park</p> <p>Building a Pub on the recreation ground is an insane idea, please drop the whole idea</p> |
| I am in favour of Option A | As much green space on the recreation ground should be protected as possible! |
| I am in favour of Option A | in our opinion option B should never be considered due to its proximity to a heabily polluted road and also reducing the recreation area by 655metres squared and causing extra expenditure |
| I am in favour of Option A | West Byfleet wants to keep its recreation ground kept in good order and not abused |
| I am in favour of Option A | I suppose the extra £240,000 comes from the Marston's Brewery! |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | I feel that the playground is safer away from the busy Parvis Road- However secure the gate |
| I am in favour of Option A | I do not understand opening up a new pub so many are closing down. We need our green space and do not need another restaurant in this area. |
| I am in favour of Option A | No pub please! |
| I am in favour of Option A | If collecting children of different ages the playground must be near the middle school entrance. |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | |
| I am in favour of Option A | Should stay next to the school. When your men do their morning clean up, could they tackle the path outside? Some do. I like to watch the children play. No pub please. |
| I am in favour of Option A | Would not want a public house in this location |
| I am in favour of Option A | Option B to expensive and too close to main road |
| I am in favour of Option A | There is no comment in narrative in connection between this survey an proposals to sell recreation land to Marstons for an unneeded and unwanted pub. This omission negates this survy and is disgraceful |
| I am in favour of Option A | I think this is a misleading and disappointing leaflet that does not share the council's wider plans for the proposed site as a pub location included. |
| | |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| I am in favour of Option B | It is very hard to give a truly informed opinion without knowing further details: What will the actual park layout look like? What facilities will be available? Which age groups will each option suit? How likely is it that option B will face opposition in light of the church being a listed building? I think that option B is attractive because it can be built while the old playground is still in use, and then the old playground can be removed when the new one is finished. I think this will be less disruptive and will ensure families can continue to use the space. It is also attractive because presumably it will mean all brand new play facilities, which should be safer, cleaner and more fun for children. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | I know that this option may include the proposed pub - I think the pub would be greatly beneficial to West Byfleet and I hope it doesn't get stopped because of the NIMBYs. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | The additional funding that will come from the proposed public house is the only way proper playground provision can be secured. Please ignore the noisy virtue signalling minority and proceed with option B |
| I am in favour of Option B | I believe West Byfleet residents and businesses will benefit from the option B & hopefully a new pub. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | We need a social centre in West Byfleet. The proposal for moving the location of the park is better for future planning in any event. The pub is a great idea as it will stimulate the local economy. West Byfleet should be focussed on a firm economic plan that drives GDP into local business, services and ultimately the area as a whole. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | People are worried about option b being close to the road, so it might be best to not have an entrance to that park lead straight onto the road. People are also worried that a pub might be opened where the current park is? Maybe don't do that. Otherwise, a bigger more accessible park closer to west Byfleet sounds great. |
| I am in favour of Option B | a pedestrian crossing is required to access the play area over parvis road. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | Dear Woking Borough Council, I am a dedicated rugby player and committee member of Woking RFC. We are a successful (promoted last season), grassroots, rugby club, desperate to have additional floodlights which allow us to train all year round, particularly in the treacherous winter months. We kindly ask you to strongly consider, either build additional floodlights to allow us to train all year round, or build an all-weather 3G pitch which we can hire from the council and generate consistent revenue for you for 6 months of the year. Please contact me if this would be of interest and you would like to discuss further |
| I am in favour of Option B | Invest in new all year facilities. |
| I am in favour of Option B | The area needs more attractions than just a few new playground swings and frames. Lets get the biggest and most equipped playground in the area so that it becomes a regular must go to park rather than the current make do excuse for a childrens park. More facilities like changing rooms and toilets need to be provided and if that entails developing a bit of this vast park then it gets my vote. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | Please not near the extremely busy main road. Pollution from constant traffic and noise |
| I am in favour of Option B | I would much prefer a new, bespoke area, rather than patching up the old. The location is of little consequence to me |
| I am in favour of Option B | Option B would be progressive. What tree mitigation is there as some interesting trees here? |
| I am in favour of Option B | Presumably this would be closer to the shops so easier to get to with small children in tow after parking at Waitrose. The existing play ground is often just too far out of reach for a quick play when going into town for shopping / doctor's visits. I think it would get used much more if it was closer to the town. |
| I am in favour of Option B | |
| I am in favour of Option B | A range of safe equipment for children of all ages and some adult outdoor gym would be fantastic. Thanks. |

Option A - 1101

Option B - 24